

Automata Theory :: (Regular) Grammars

Jörg Endrullis

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Introduction to Grammars

A **grammar** defines a **language**.

Applications areas:

- natural language
- artificial intelligence
- syntax of programming languages

Introduction to Grammars

A **grammar** defines a **language**.

Applications areas:

- natural language
- artificial intelligence
- syntax of programming languages

Example

⟨sentence⟩ → ⟨article⟩ ⟨noun⟩ ⟨verb⟩ ⟨article⟩ ⟨noun⟩
⟨article⟩ → the
⟨article⟩ → a
⟨noun⟩ → farmer
⟨noun⟩ → cow
⟨verb⟩ → milks

With these **grammar rules** we can construct a ⟨sentence⟩.

Introduction to Grammars

⟨sentence⟩ → ⟨article⟩ ⟨noun⟩ ⟨verb⟩ ⟨article⟩ ⟨noun⟩
⟨article⟩ → the
⟨article⟩ → a
⟨noun⟩ → farmer
⟨noun⟩ → cow
⟨verb⟩ → milks

The farmer milks a cow is a sentence in the language.



Introduction to Grammars

⟨sentence⟩ → ⟨article⟩ ⟨noun⟩ ⟨verb⟩ ⟨article⟩ ⟨noun⟩
⟨article⟩ → the
⟨article⟩ → a
⟨noun⟩ → farmer
⟨noun⟩ → cow
⟨verb⟩ → milks

The farmer milks a cow is a sentence in the language.

⟨sentence⟩



Introduction to Grammars

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{the}$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{a}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{farmer}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{cow}$
 $\langle \text{verb} \rangle \rightarrow \text{milks}$

The farmer milks a cow is a sentence in the language.

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$



Introduction to Grammars

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{the}$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{a}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{farmer}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{cow}$
 $\langle \text{verb} \rangle \rightarrow \text{milks}$

The farmer milks a cow is a sentence in the language.

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the} \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$



Introduction to Grammars

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{the}$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{a}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{farmer}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{cow}$
 $\langle \text{verb} \rangle \rightarrow \text{milks}$

The farmer milks a cow is a sentence in the language.

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the} \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer} \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$



Introduction to Grammars

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{the}$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{a}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{farmer}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{cow}$
 $\langle \text{verb} \rangle \rightarrow \text{milks}$

The farmer milks a cow is a sentence in the language.

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the} \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer} \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer milks} \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$



Introduction to Grammars

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{the}$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{a}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{farmer}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{cow}$
 $\langle \text{verb} \rangle \rightarrow \text{milks}$

The farmer milks a cow is a sentence in the language.

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the} \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer} \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer milks} \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer milks a} \langle \text{noun} \rangle$



Introduction to Grammars

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{the}$
 $\langle \text{article} \rangle \rightarrow \text{a}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{farmer}$
 $\langle \text{noun} \rangle \rightarrow \text{cow}$
 $\langle \text{verb} \rangle \rightarrow \text{milks}$

The farmer milks a cow is a sentence in the language.

$\langle \text{sentence} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the} \langle \text{noun} \rangle \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer} \langle \text{verb} \rangle \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer milks} \langle \text{article} \rangle \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer milks a} \langle \text{noun} \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow \text{the farmer milks a cow}$



Grammars

A **grammar** $G = (V, T, S, P)$ consists of:

- finite set V of **non-terminals** (or **variables**)
- finite set T of **terminals**
- a **start symbol** $S \in V$
- finite set P of **production rules** $x \rightarrow y$ where
 - $x \in (V \cup T)^+$ containing at least one symbol from V
 - $y \in (V \cup T)^*$

In the previous example:

- variables: $\langle \text{sentence} \rangle$, $\langle \text{article} \rangle$, $\langle \text{noun} \rangle$, $\langle \text{verb} \rangle$
- terminals: the, a, farmer, cow, milks
- starting symbol: $\langle \text{sentence} \rangle$

Grammars

A **grammar** $G = (V, T, S, P)$ consists of:

- finite set V of **non-terminals** (or **variables**)
- finite set T of **terminals**
- a **start symbol** $S \in V$
- finite set P of **production rules** $x \rightarrow y$ where
 - $x \in (V \cup T)^+$ containing at least one symbol from V
 - $y \in (V \cup T)^*$

In the previous example:

- variables: $\langle \text{sentence} \rangle$, $\langle \text{article} \rangle$, $\langle \text{noun} \rangle$, $\langle \text{verb} \rangle$
- terminals: the, a, farmer, cow, milks
- starting symbol: $\langle \text{sentence} \rangle$

A grammar is **context-free** if $x \in V$ for every rule $x \rightarrow y$.

B(ackus) N(aur) F(orm) is a Context-Free Grammar

The BNF (Backus Naur Form) is often used to define the syntax of programming languages. These are context-free grammars!

Example

```
⟨stm⟩ → ⟨var⟩ := ⟨expr⟩
⟨stm⟩ → ⟨stm⟩ ; ⟨stm⟩
⟨stm⟩ → begin ⟨stm⟩ end
⟨stm⟩ → if ⟨cond⟩ then ⟨stm⟩ else ⟨stm⟩
⟨stm⟩ → while ⟨cond⟩ do ⟨stm⟩
⟨cond⟩ → ...
⟨var⟩ → ...
⟨expr⟩ → ...
... → ...
```

In BNF, non-terminals (variables) are indicated by \langle and \rangle .

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

S

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

S

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb$$

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow ab$$

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S$$

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb$$

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb$$

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aabb$$

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aabb$$

A **derivation** \Rightarrow^* is the reflexive, transitive closure of \Rightarrow .

Thus there is a derivation $u \Rightarrow^* v$ if v can be obtained from u by zero or more derivation steps.

Grammar Derivations

If $x \rightarrow y$ is a production rule, then we have a **derivation step**

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

for every $u, v \in (V \cup T)^*$.

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Example derivations:

$$S \Rightarrow \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow^* \lambda$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow ab$$

$$S \Rightarrow^* ab$$

$$S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aabb$$

$$S \Rightarrow^* aabb$$

A **derivation** \Rightarrow^* is the reflexive, transitive closure of \Rightarrow .

Thus there is a derivation $u \Rightarrow^* v$ if v can be obtained from u by zero or more derivation steps.

Languages Generated by Grammars

The **language generated** by a grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is

$$L(G) = \{ w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \}$$

The language consists of all words that

- contain only terminal letters (no variables), and
- can be derived from the start symbol

Languages Generated by Grammars

The **language generated** by a grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is

$$L(G) = \{ w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \}$$

The language consists of all words that

- contain only terminal letters (no variables), and
- can be derived from the start symbol

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

What is the language generated by G ?

$$L(G) =$$

Languages Generated by Grammars

The **language generated** by a grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is

$$L(G) = \{ w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w \}$$

The language consists of all words that

- contain only terminal letters (no variables), and
- can be derived from the start symbol

$G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$, where P consists of

$$S \rightarrow aSb \qquad S \rightarrow \lambda$$

What is the language generated by G ?

$$L(G) = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$$

Recall that this language is not regular.

Notational Conventions for Grammars

Notational Conventions

When defining grammars, we use the following conventions:

- **upper case** letters for **variables** (non-terminals)
- **lower case** letters for **terminals**
- $V \rightarrow w_1 \mid \dots \mid w_n$ is **shorthand for** n rules

$$\begin{array}{l} V \rightarrow w_1 \\ \vdots \\ V \rightarrow w_n \end{array}$$

Often, we only specify the production rules.

Notational Conventions for Grammars

Notational Conventions

When defining grammars, we use the following conventions:

- **upper case** letters for **variables** (non-terminals)
- **lower case** letters for **terminals**
- $V \rightarrow w_1 \mid \dots \mid w_n$ is **shorthand for** n rules

$$\begin{array}{c} V \rightarrow w_1 \\ \vdots \\ V \rightarrow w_n \end{array}$$

Often, we only specify the production rules.

$$\begin{array}{l} G_1 : \quad S \rightarrow Ab \\ \quad \quad A \rightarrow aAb \mid \lambda \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} G_2 : \quad S \rightarrow aSb \\ \quad \quad S \rightarrow b \end{array}$$

Notational Conventions for Grammars

Notational Conventions

When defining grammars, we use the following conventions:

- **upper case** letters for **variables** (non-terminals)
- **lower case** letters for **terminals**
- $V \rightarrow w_1 \mid \dots \mid w_n$ is **shorthand for** n rules

$$\begin{array}{c} V \rightarrow w_1 \\ \vdots \\ V \rightarrow w_n \end{array}$$

Often, we only specify the production rules.

$$G_1 : S \rightarrow Ab$$

$$A \rightarrow aAb \mid \lambda$$

$$G_2 : S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow b$$

What languages are generated by these grammars?

$$L(G_1) =$$

Notational Conventions for Grammars

Notational Conventions

When defining grammars, we use the following conventions:

- **upper case** letters for **variables** (non-terminals)
- **lower case** letters for **terminals**
- $V \rightarrow w_1 \mid \dots \mid w_n$ is **shorthand for** n rules

$$\begin{array}{c} V \rightarrow w_1 \\ \vdots \\ V \rightarrow w_n \end{array}$$

Often, we only specify the production rules.

$$G_1 : S \rightarrow Ab$$

$$A \rightarrow aAb \mid \lambda$$

$$G_2 : S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow b$$

What languages are generated by these grammars?

$$L(G_1) = \{a^n b^{n+1} \mid n \geq 0\}$$

Notational Conventions for Grammars

Notational Conventions

When defining grammars, we use the following conventions:

- **upper case** letters for **variables** (non-terminals)
- **lower case** letters for **terminals**
- $V \rightarrow w_1 \mid \dots \mid w_n$ is **shorthand for** n rules

$$\begin{array}{c} V \rightarrow w_1 \\ \vdots \\ V \rightarrow w_n \end{array}$$

Often, we only specify the production rules.

$$G_1 : S \rightarrow Ab$$

$$A \rightarrow aAb \mid \lambda$$

$$G_2 : S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow b$$

What languages are generated by these grammars?

$$L(G_1) = \{a^n b^{n+1} \mid n \geq 0\}$$

$$L(G_2) =$$

Notational Conventions for Grammars

Notational Conventions

When defining grammars, we use the following conventions:

- **upper case** letters for **variables** (non-terminals)
- **lower case** letters for **terminals**
- $V \rightarrow w_1 \mid \dots \mid w_n$ is **shorthand for** n rules

$$\begin{array}{c} V \rightarrow w_1 \\ \vdots \\ V \rightarrow w_n \end{array}$$

Often, we only specify the production rules.

$$\begin{array}{ll} G_1 : & S \rightarrow Ab \\ & A \rightarrow aAb \mid \lambda \\ G_2 : & S \rightarrow aSb \\ & S \rightarrow b \end{array}$$

What languages are generated by these grammars?

$$L(G_1) = \{a^n b^{n+1} \mid n \geq 0\} = L(G_2) = \{a^n b^{n+1} \mid n \geq 0\}$$

Exercises (1)

Find a grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \{a, b\}^* \{c\} \{b, c\}^*$$

Exercises (1)

Find a grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \{a, b\}^* \{c\} \{b, c\}^*$$

There are many possible solutions!

Exercises (1)

Find a grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \{a, b\}^* \{c\} \{b, c\}^*$$

There are many possible solutions!

One possible solution is:

$$S \rightarrow XcY$$

Exercises (1)

Find a grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \{a, b\}^* \{c\} \{b, c\}^*$$

There are many possible solutions!

One possible solution is:

$$S \rightarrow XcY$$

$$X \rightarrow aX \mid bX \mid \lambda$$

Exercises (1)

Find a grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \{a, b\}^* \{c\} \{b, c\}^*$$

There are many possible solutions!

One possible solution is:

$$S \rightarrow XcY$$

$$X \rightarrow aX \mid bX \mid \lambda$$

$$Y \rightarrow bY \mid cY \mid \lambda$$

Exercises (2)

A word $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is called **palindrome** if $w = w^R$, that is

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_n \cdots a_2 a_1$$

For instance **hannah** is a palindrome.

Exercises (2)

A word $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is called **palindrome** if $w = w^R$, that is

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_n \cdots a_2 a_1$$

For instance **hannah** is a palindrome.

Find a grammar G that generates all palindromes over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$. In other words

$$L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ is a palindrome} \}$$

Exercises (2)

A word $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is called **palindrome** if $w = w^R$, that is

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_n \cdots a_2 a_1$$

For instance **hannah** is a palindrome.

Find a grammar G that generates all palindromes over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$. In other words

$$L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ is a palindrome} \}$$

Find a grammar G that generates all non-palindromes over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$. In other words

$$L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ is not a palindrome} \}$$

Regular Grammars

Right Linear Grammars

A grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is **right linear** if all production rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow uB \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow u$$

with $A, B \in V$ and $u \in T^*$.

Moreover G is **strictly right linear** if $|u| \leq 1$ (i.e. $u \in (T \cup \{\lambda\})$).

Right Linear Grammars

A grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is **right linear** if all production rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow uB \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow u$$

with $A, B \in V$ and $u \in T^*$.

Moreover G is **strictly right linear** if $|u| \leq 1$ (i.e. $u \in (T \cup \{\lambda\})$).

Construct a right linear grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \{a, b\}^* \{aa\} \{b\}^*$$

Right Linear Grammars

A grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is **right linear** if all production rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow uB \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow u$$

with $A, B \in V$ and $u \in T^*$.

Moreover G is **strictly right linear** if $|u| \leq 1$ (i.e. $u \in (T \cup \{\lambda\})$).

Construct a right linear grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \{a, b\}^* \{aa\} \{b\}^*$$

Construct a right linear grammar G such that

$$L(G) = \{ab\} (\{a\}^* \{cb\})^* \{b\}$$

(Strictly) Right Linear Grammars

Theorem

Let G be a right linear grammar. There exists a **strictly** right linear grammar H such that $L(G) = L(H)$.

(Strictly) Right Linear Grammars

Theorem

Let G be a right linear grammar. There exists a **strictly** right linear grammar H such that $L(G) = L(H)$.

Construction

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

(Strictly) Right Linear Grammars

Theorem

Let G be a right linear grammar. There exists a **strictly** right linear grammar H such that $L(G) = L(H)$.

Construction

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Assume that we have a production rule γ of the form

$$A \rightarrow u(B)$$

with $|u| > 1$.

(Strictly) Right Linear Grammars

Theorem

Let G be a right linear grammar. There exists a **strictly** right linear grammar H such that $L(G) = L(H)$.

Construction

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Assume that we have a production rule γ of the form

$$A \rightarrow u(B)$$

with $|u| > 1$. Then $u = aw$ for some $a \in T$ and $w \in T^+$.

(Strictly) Right Linear Grammars

Theorem

Let G be a right linear grammar. There exists a **strictly** right linear grammar H such that $L(G) = L(H)$.

Construction

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Assume that we have a production rule γ of the form

$$A \rightarrow u(B)$$

with $|u| > 1$. Then $u = aw$ for some $a \in T$ and $w \in T^+$.

Let X be a **fresh** variable ($X \notin (V \cup T)$).

(Strictly) Right Linear Grammars

Theorem

Let G be a right linear grammar. There exists a **strictly** right linear grammar H such that $L(G) = L(H)$.

Construction

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Assume that we have a production rule γ of the form

$$A \rightarrow u(B)$$

with $|u| > 1$. Then $u = aw$ for some $a \in T$ and $w \in T^+$.

Let X be a **fresh** variable ($X \notin (V \cup T)$).

We add X to V and split the rule γ into:

$$A \rightarrow aX \qquad X \rightarrow w(B)$$

Then $A \rightarrow aX \rightarrow aw(B) = u(B)$.

(Strictly) Right Linear Grammars

Theorem

Let G be a right linear grammar. There exists a **strictly** right linear grammar H such that $L(G) = L(H)$.

Construction

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Assume that we have a production rule γ of the form

$$A \rightarrow u(B)$$

with $|u| > 1$. Then $u = aw$ for some $a \in T$ and $w \in T^+$.

Let X be a **fresh** variable ($X \notin (V \cup T)$).

We add X to V and split the rule γ into:

$$A \rightarrow aX \qquad X \rightarrow w(B)$$

Then $A \rightarrow aX \rightarrow aw(B) = u(B)$. It follows $L(G) = L(H)$.

(Strictly) Right Linear Grammars

Theorem

Let G be a right linear grammar. There exists a **strictly** right linear grammar H such that $L(G) = L(H)$.

Construction

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Assume that we have a production rule γ of the form

$$A \rightarrow u(B)$$

with $|u| > 1$. Then $u = aw$ for some $a \in T$ and $w \in T^+$.

Let X be a **fresh** variable ($X \notin (V \cup T)$).

We add X to V and split the rule γ into:

$$A \rightarrow aX \qquad X \rightarrow w(B)$$

Then $A \rightarrow aX \rightarrow aw(B) = u(B)$. It follows $L(G) = L(H)$.

Repeat splitting until $|u| \leq 1$ for all rules.

Right Linear Grammars and Regular Languages

From NFAs to Right Linear Grammars

Consider the following NFA M



Construct a right linear grammar G such that:

$$L(M) = L(G)$$

From NFAs to Right Linear Grammars

For every NFA M there exists a right linear grammar G with

$$L(G) = L(M)$$

From NFAs to Right Linear Grammars

For every NFA M there exists a right linear grammar G with

$$L(G) = L(M)$$

Construction

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{q_0\}, F)$ be an NFA with a single starting state.

From NFAs to Right Linear Grammars

For every NFA M there exists a right linear grammar G with

$$L(G) = L(M)$$

Construction

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{q_0\}, F)$ be an NFA with a single starting state.

Define $G = (V, T, S, P)$ with $V = Q$ and $T = \Sigma$ and $S = q_0$.

From NFAs to Right Linear Grammars

For every NFA M there exists a right linear grammar G with

$$L(G) = L(M)$$

Construction

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{q_0\}, F)$ be an NFA with a single starting state.

Define $G = (V, T, S, P)$ with $V = Q$ and $T = \Sigma$ and $S = q_0$.

The set P consists of the following production rules

$q \rightarrow \alpha q'$ for every $q' \in \delta(q, \alpha)$ where $\alpha \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$

$q \rightarrow \lambda$ for every $q \in F$

From NFAs to Right Linear Grammars

For every NFA M there exists a right linear grammar G with

$$L(G) = L(M)$$

Construction

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{q_0\}, F)$ be an NFA with a single starting state.

Define $G = (V, T, S, P)$ with $V = Q$ and $T = \Sigma$ and $S = q_0$.

The set P consists of the following production rules

$$q \rightarrow \alpha q' \quad \text{for every } q' \in \delta(q, \alpha) \text{ where } \alpha \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$$

$$q \rightarrow \lambda \quad \text{for every } q \in F$$

Then: $A \Rightarrow^* uB$ in $G \iff A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in M .

From NFAs to Right Linear Grammars

For every NFA M there exists a right linear grammar G with

$$L(G) = L(M)$$

Construction

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{q_0\}, F)$ be an NFA with a single starting state.

Define $G = (V, T, S, P)$ with $V = Q$ and $T = \Sigma$ and $S = q_0$.

The set P consists of the following production rules

$$q \rightarrow \alpha q' \quad \text{for every } q' \in \delta(q, \alpha) \text{ where } \alpha \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$$

$$q \rightarrow \lambda \quad \text{for every } q \in F$$

Then: $A \Rightarrow^* uB$ in $G \iff A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in M .

It follows that, $L(G) = L(M)$.

From Right Linear Grammars to NFAs

For every right linear grammar G there exists an NFA M with

$$L(M) = L(G)$$

From Right Linear Grammars to NFAs

For every right linear grammar G there exists an NFA M with

$$L(M) = L(G)$$

Construction (\Leftarrow)

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

From Right Linear Grammars to NFAs

For every right linear grammar G there exists an NFA M with

$$L(M) = L(G)$$

Construction (\Leftarrow)

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Make G to **strictly** right linear. Then all rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow u \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow uB$$

for $A, B \in V, u \in (T \cup \{\lambda\})$.

From Right Linear Grammars to NFAs

For every right linear grammar G there exists an NFA M with

$$L(M) = L(G)$$

Construction (\Leftarrow)

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Make G to **strictly** right linear. Then all rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow u \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow uB$$

for $A, B \in V$, $u \in (T \cup \{\lambda\})$. Let NFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{S\}, F)$ with

$$\Sigma = T \qquad Q = V \cup \{\Omega\} \qquad F = \{\Omega\}$$

where $\Omega \notin V$.

From Right Linear Grammars to NFAs

For every right linear grammar G there exists an NFA M with

$$L(M) = L(G)$$

Construction (\Leftarrow)

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Make G to **strictly** right linear. Then all rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow u \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow uB$$

for $A, B \in V$, $u \in (T \cup \{\lambda\})$. Let NFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{S\}, F)$ with

$$\Sigma = T \qquad Q = V \cup \{\Omega\} \qquad F = \{\Omega\}$$

where $\Omega \notin V$. The transitions δ are given by

$$A \xrightarrow{u} B \qquad \text{for every } A \rightarrow uB \text{ in } G$$

$$A \xrightarrow{u} \Omega \qquad \text{for every } A \rightarrow u \text{ in } G$$

From Right Linear Grammars to NFAs

For every right linear grammar G there exists an NFA M with

$$L(M) = L(G)$$

Construction (\Leftarrow)

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Make G to **strictly** right linear. Then all rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow u \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow uB$$

for $A, B \in V$, $u \in (T \cup \{\lambda\})$. Let NFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{S\}, F)$ with

$$\Sigma = T \qquad Q = V \cup \{\Omega\} \qquad F = \{\Omega\}$$

where $\Omega \notin V$. The transitions δ are given by

$$A \xrightarrow{u} B \qquad \text{for every } A \rightarrow uB \text{ in } G$$

$$A \xrightarrow{u} \Omega \qquad \text{for every } A \rightarrow u \text{ in } G$$

Then $S \Rightarrow^* w \in T^* \iff M$ accepts w .

From Right Linear Grammars to NFAs

For every right linear grammar G there exists an NFA M with

$$L(M) = L(G)$$

Construction (\Leftarrow)

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a right linear grammar.

Make G to **strictly** right linear. Then all rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow u \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow uB$$

for $A, B \in V$, $u \in (T \cup \{\lambda\})$. Let NFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \{S\}, F)$ with

$$\Sigma = T \qquad Q = V \cup \{\Omega\} \qquad F = \{\Omega\}$$

where $\Omega \notin V$. The transitions δ are given by

$$A \xrightarrow{u} B \qquad \text{for every } A \rightarrow uB \text{ in } G$$

$$A \xrightarrow{u} \Omega \qquad \text{for every } A \rightarrow u \text{ in } G$$

Then $S \Rightarrow^* w \in T^* \iff M$ accepts w . Hence $L(G) = L(M)$.

Exercise

Construct an NFA that accepts the language generated by

$$S \rightarrow aT$$

$$T \rightarrow abcS \mid b$$

Note that $T \rightarrow abcS \mid b$ is short for two rules:

$$T \rightarrow abcS$$

$$T \rightarrow b$$

Right Linear Grammars \iff Regular Languages

Theorem

Language L is **regular**

\iff there is a **right linear grammar** G with $L(G) = L$

Right Linear Grammars \iff Regular Languages

Theorem

Language L is **regular**

\iff there is a **right linear grammar** G with $L(G) = L$

Proof.

The proof consists of two directions:

- (\Rightarrow) Translating NFAs to right linear grammars.
- (\Leftarrow) Translate right linear grammars to NFAs.

We have already seen both constructions. □

Left Linear Grammars

Left Linear Grammars

A grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is **left linear** if all production rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow Bu \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow u$$

with $A, B \in V$ and $u \in T^*$.

(Difference with right linear grammars highlighted in red.)

Left Linear Grammars

A grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is **left linear** if all production rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow Bu \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow u$$

with $A, B \in V$ and $u \in T^*$.

(Difference with right linear grammars highlighted in red.)

Theorem

Language L is **regular**

\iff there is a **left linear grammar** G with $L(G) = L$

Left Linear Grammars

A grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ is **left linear** if all production rules are of the form

$$A \rightarrow Bu \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow u$$

with $A, B \in V$ and $u \in T^*$.

(Difference with right linear grammars highlighted in red.)

Theorem

Language L is **regular**

\iff there is a **left linear grammar** G with $L(G) = L$

Proof.

L is regular $\iff L^R$ is regular

\iff right linear grammar for L^R

\iff left linear grammar for L

(For the last step, reverse both sides of all production rules.) \square

Mixing Right and Left Linear Rules

Mixing right **and** left linear rules, the generated language is **not** always regular.

Example

Let G be the grammar

$$S \rightarrow aA$$

$$A \rightarrow Sb$$

$$S \rightarrow \lambda$$

Every rule of G is either right or left linear.

However, the language $L(G) = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is **not** regular.