- Lecture 1: Introduction, Abstract Rewriting
- Lecture 2: Term Rewriting
- Lecture 3: Combinatory Logic
- Lecture 4: Termination
- Lecture 5: Matching, Unification
- Lecture 6: Equational Reasoning, Completion
- Lecture 7: Confluence
- Lecture 8: Modularity
- Lecture 9: Strategies
- Lecture 10: Decidability
- Lecture 11: Infinitary Rewriting

Outline

- Overview

- Infinitary Rewriting

Infinitary Rewriting

Example (The Stream of Natural Numbers)

Infinite Terms

Definition

An infinite term is a partial map $t : \mathbb{N}^* \rightharpoonup \Sigma$ from positions to symbols such that:

- $t(\epsilon) \in \Sigma$, and
- $t(ip) \in \Sigma \iff 1 \leq i \leq \#(t(p))$

Infinite Terms

Definition

An infinite term is a partial map $t : \mathbb{N}^* \rightharpoonup \Sigma$ from positions to symbols such that:

- $t(\epsilon) \in \Sigma$, and
- $t(ip) \in \Sigma \iff 1 \leq i \leq \#(t(p))$

The set of finite and infinite terms is denoted by $\mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$.

Infinite Terms as Metric Space

Definition

We define a metric d on $\mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ by:

$$d(s, t) = 2^{-|p|} \text{ where } p \text{ is the highest position such that } s(p) \neq t(p)$$

The first difference is at depth 2, hence $d(s, t) = 2^{-2} = 0.25$.

Infinite Terms as Metric Space

Definition

We define a metric d on $\mathcal{T}^{\infty}(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ by:

$$d(s, t) = 2^{-|p|} \text{ where } p \text{ is the highest position such that } s(p) \neq t(p)$$

Note that $d(s, t) = 0 \iff s = t$.

The first difference is at depth 2, hence $d(s, t) = 2^{-2} = 0.25$.

Infinite Terms as Metric Space

Definition

We define a metric d on $\mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ by:

$$d(s, t) = 2^{-|p|} \text{ where } p \text{ is the highest position such that } s(p) \neq t(p)$$

Note that $d(s, t) = 0 \iff s = t$.

Example

Infinite Terms as Metric Space

Definition

We define a metric d on $\mathcal{T}^{\infty}(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ by:

$$d(s, t) = 2^{-|p|} \text{ where } p \text{ is the highest position such that } s(p) \neq t(p)$$

Note that $d(s, t) = 0 \iff s = t$.

Example

The first difference is at depth 2, hence $d(s, t) = 2^{-2} = 0.25$.

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

$f(a, b)$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

$f(a, b) \rightarrow f(c(a), b)$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow f(c(a), b)$$
$$\rightarrow f(c(c(a)), b)$$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow f(c(a), b)$$
$$\rightarrow f(c(c(a)), b)$$
$$\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), b)$$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \to f(a, b)$$
$$a \to c(a)$$
$$b \to c(b)$$

$$f(a, b) \to f(c(a), b)$$
$$\to f(c(c(a)), b)$$
$$\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), b)$$
$$\to f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(b))$$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a, b) &\rightarrow f(c(a), b) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(a)), b) \\
&\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), b) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(b)) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(b)))
\end{aligned}
$$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \to f(a, b)$$
$$a \to c(a)$$
$$b \to c(b)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a, b) &\to f(c(a), b) \\
&\to f(c(c(a)), b) \\
&\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), b) \\
&\to f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(b)) \\
&\to f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(b))) \\
&\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(c(\ldots))))
\end{aligned}
$$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow f(c(a), b)$$
$$\rightarrow f(c(c(a)), b)$$
$$\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), b)$$
$$\rightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(b))$$
$$\rightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(b)))$$
$$\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(c(\ldots))))$$
$$\rightarrow f(a, b)$$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a, b) &\rightarrow f(c(a), b) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(a)), b) \\
&\rightarrowtail f(c(c(c(\ldots))), b) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(b)) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(b))) \\
&\rightarrowtail f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(c(\ldots)))) \\
&\rightarrow f(a, b) \\
&\rightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Infinitary Rewriting

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a, b) &\rightarrow f(c(a), b) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(a)), b) \\
&\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), b) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(b)) \\
&\rightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(b))) \\
&\twoheadrightarrow f(c(c(c(\ldots))), c(c(c(\ldots)))) \\
&\rightarrow f(a, b) \\
&\rightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

We need transfinite reductions. . .

Ordinals

$0,\ 1,\ 2,\ \ldots,\ \omega,\ \omega+1,\ \omega+2,\ldots,\omega+\omega=\omega\cdot 2,\ \ldots,\ \omega\cdot 3,\ldots,\omega^2,\ldots,\omega^\omega,\ldots$

Note that ω is the smallest infinite ordinal.

Ordinals

Definition

A set S is transitive if $x \in S$ implies $x \subseteq S$.

Ordinals

Definition

A set S is transitive if $x \in S$ implies $x \subseteq S$.

An ordinal is a transitive set whose elements are transitive sets.

Ordinals

Definition

A set S is transitive if $x \in S$ implies $x \subseteq S$.

An ordinal is a transitive set whose elements are transitive sets.

Example $(0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$

$\varnothing$, $\{\varnothing\}$, $\{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}$, $\{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}, \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}\}$, $\ldots$

Ordinals

Definition

A set S is transitive if $x \in S$ implies $x \subseteq S$.

An ordinal is a transitive set whose elements are transitive sets.

Example $(0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$

$\varnothing, \ \{\varnothing\}, \ \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}, \ \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}, \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}\}, \ldots$

Definition

We define $\alpha < \beta \iff \alpha \in \beta$.

Ordinals

Definition

A set S is transitive if $x \in S$ implies $x \subseteq S$.

An ordinal is a transitive set whose elements are transitive sets.

Example $(0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$

$\varnothing$, $\{\varnothing\}$, $\{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}$, $\{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}, \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}\}$, $\ldots$

Definition

We define $\alpha < \beta \iff \alpha \in \beta$.

Lemma

The relation $<$ is a total order on ordinals.

Ordinals

Definition

A set S is transitive if $x \in S$ implies $x \subseteq S$.

An ordinal is a transitive set whose elements are transitive sets.

Example $(0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$

$\varnothing, \ \{\varnothing\}, \ \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}, \ \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}, \{\varnothing, \{\varnothing\}\}\}, \ldots$

Definition

We define $\alpha < \beta \iff \alpha \in \beta$.

Lemma

The relation $<$ is a total order on ordinals.

Lemma

For every ordinal β, we have $\beta = \{\alpha \mid \alpha < \beta\}$.

Ordinals

Definition

For ordinals α, we define $\alpha^+ = \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$, the successor of α.

Ordinals

Definition

For ordinals α, we define $\alpha^+ = \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$, the successor of α.

An ordinal α is a successor ordinal if $\alpha = \beta^+$ for some ordinal β.

Example

Successor ordinals: $1, 2, \omega + 1, \omega \cdot 3 + 2, \ldots$

Ordinals

Definition

For ordinals α, we define $\alpha^+ = \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$, the successor of α.

An ordinal α is a successor ordinal if $\alpha = \beta^+$ for some ordinal β.

If $\alpha \neq 0$ and α is not a successor ordinal, then α is called limit ordinal.

Example

Successor ordinals: $1, 2, \omega + 1, \omega \cdot 3 + 2, \ldots$ Limit ordinals: $\omega, \omega \cdot 2, \omega \cdot 3, \omega^2, \ldots$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$:

$$f(a, b)$$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \to f(a, b)$$
$$a \to c(a)$$
$$b \to c(b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \to^\omega f(c^\omega, b)$$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^{\omega} f(c^{\omega}, b) \rightarrow^{\omega} f(c^{\omega}, c^{\omega})$$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^{\omega} f(c^{\omega}, b) \rightarrow^{\omega} f(c^{\omega}, c^{\omega}) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega + 1$:

$$f(a, b)$$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega)$$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$

Transfinite Reductions

Example

$$f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a)$$
$$b \rightarrow c(b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$

A reduction of length $\omega + 1$:

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$

by alternating $f(a, b) \rightarrow f(c(a), b) \rightarrow f(c(a), c(b)) \rightarrow \dots$.

Transfinite Reductions

Definition

Let α be an ordinal, and $\tau : (t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1})_{\beta < \alpha}$ a sequence of reduction steps.

Transfinite Reductions

Definition

Let α be an ordinal, and $\tau : (t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1})_{\beta<\alpha}$ a sequence of reduction steps.

We use d_β to denote the depth of the rewrite step $t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1}$.

Transfinite Reductions

Definition

Let α be an ordinal, and $\tau : (t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1})_{\beta < \alpha}$ a sequence of reduction steps.

We use d_β to denote the depth of the rewrite step $t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1}$.

Then τ is an infinite reduction of length α if for every limit ordinal $\lambda < \alpha$:

1. the distance $d(t_\beta, t_\lambda)$ tends to 0, $\forall \epsilon > 0. \ \exists \beta < \lambda. \ \forall \beta < \gamma < \lambda. \ d(t_\gamma, t_\lambda) \leq \epsilon$

2. the depth d_β tends to infinity $\forall n. \ \exists \beta < \lambda. \ \forall \beta < \gamma < \lambda. \ d_\gamma \geq n$

as β approaches λ from below.

Transfinite Reductions

Definition

Let α be an ordinal, and $\tau : (t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1})_{\beta < \alpha}$ a sequence of reduction steps.

We use d_β to denote the depth of the rewrite step $t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1}$.

Then τ is an infinite reduction of length α if for every limit ordinal $\lambda < \alpha$:

1. the distance $d(t_\beta, t_\lambda)$ tends to 0, $\quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \ \exists \beta < \lambda. \ \forall \beta < \gamma < \lambda. \ d(t_\gamma, t_\lambda) \leq \epsilon$

2. the depth d_β tends to infinity $\qquad\qquad \forall n. \ \exists \beta < \lambda. \ \forall \beta < \gamma < \lambda. \ d_\gamma \geq n$

as β approaches λ from below.

Example

Let $R = \{a \to a, \ b \to b\}$. Condition (1) excludes jumps in the limit:

$$a \to a \to a \to \ldots \underbrace{b}_{t_\omega} \to b \to \ldots$$

Transfinite Reductions

Definition

Let α be an ordinal, and $\tau : (t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1})_{\beta<\alpha}$ a sequence of reduction steps.

We use d_β to denote the depth of the rewrite step $t_\beta \to t_{\beta+1}$.

Then τ is an infinite reduction of length α if for every limit ordinal $\lambda < \alpha$:

1. the distance $d(t_\beta, t_\lambda)$ tends to 0, $\quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \, \exists \beta < \lambda. \, \forall \beta < \gamma < \lambda. \, d(t_\gamma, t_\lambda) \leq \epsilon$

2. the depth d_β tends to infinity $\qquad\qquad \forall n. \, \exists \beta < \lambda. \, \forall \beta < \gamma < \lambda. \, d_\gamma \geq n$

as β approaches λ from below.

Example (We want more than Cauchy-convergence...)

Let $R = \{f(x) \to f(c(x))\}$. Condition (2) excludes sequences like:

$$f(a) \to_\epsilon f(c(a)) \to_\epsilon f(c(c(a))) \to_\epsilon \ldots \to_\epsilon^\omega f(c^\omega) \to \ldots$$

where the activity does not move downwards.

Transfinite Reductions Visualized

The blue lines indicate the depth of the activity/rewrite steps.

The activity tends to infinity when approaching limit ordinals.

Why more than Cauchy-convergence?

We consider the TRS:

$$f(x, y) \rightarrow f(y, x)$$
$$a \rightarrow b$$

Why more than Cauchy-convergence?

We consider the TRS:

$$f(x, y) \rightarrow f(y, x)$$
$$a \rightarrow b$$

We start from $f(a, a)$ and trace the left occurrence of a:

Why more than Cauchy-convergence?

We consider the TRS:

$$f(x, y) \rightarrow f(y, x)$$
$$a \rightarrow b$$

We start from $f(a, a)$ and trace the left occurrence of a:

$$f(\overline{a}, a) \rightarrow f(a, \overline{a}) \rightarrow f(\overline{a}, a) \rightarrow f(a, \overline{a}) \rightarrow^\omega \ ?$$

The rewrite sequence without overlining is Cauchy-convergent.

However, what are the residuals of the left a after ω-many steps?

Why more than Cauchy-convergence?

We consider the TRS:

$$f(x, y) \rightarrow f(y, x)$$
$$a \rightarrow b$$

We start from $f(a, a)$ and trace the left occurrence of a:

$$f(\overline{a}, a) \rightarrow f(a, \overline{a}) \rightarrow f(\overline{a}, a) \rightarrow f(a, \overline{a}) \rightarrow^{\omega} ?$$

The rewrite sequence without overlining is Cauchy-convergent.

However, what are the residuals of the left a after ω-many steps?

Although it appears as if the term has a limit, this is only a syntactic accident.
The subterms get swapped all the time...

Definition

A reduction of length α is strongly convergent if for every limit ordinal $\lambda \leq \alpha$ the depth d_β tends to infinity as β approaches λ from below, and divergent, otherwise.

... is a divergent rewrite sequence of length ω.

■ $R = \{ f(x, x) \to f(a, b), \ a \to c(a), \ b \to c(b) \}$

$$f(a, b) \to^\omega f(c^\omega, b) \to^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \to f(a, b)$$

... is a strongly convergent rewrite sequence of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$.

Definition

A reduction of length α is strongly convergent if for every limit ordinal $\lambda \leq \alpha$ the depth d_β tends to infinity as β approaches λ from below, and divergent, otherwise.

Example

1 $R = \{\, a \to b,\ b \to a \,\}$

$$a \to b \to a \to b \to \dots$$

Definition

A reduction of length α is strongly convergent if for every limit ordinal $\lambda \leq \alpha$ the depth d_β tends to infinity as β approaches λ from below, and divergent, otherwise.

Example

1 $R = \{ a \to b, \ b \to a \}$

$$a \to b \to a \to b \to \ldots$$

... is a divergent rewrite sequence of length ω.

Definition

A reduction of length α is strongly convergent if for every limit ordinal $\lambda \leq \alpha$ the depth d_β tends to infinity as β approaches λ from below, and divergent, otherwise.

Example

1 $R = \{\, a \rightarrow b, \; b \rightarrow a \,\}$

$$a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \rightarrow b \rightarrow \ldots$$

...is a divergent rewrite sequence of length ω.

2 $R = \{\, f(x, x) \rightarrow f(a, b), \; a \rightarrow c(a), \; b \rightarrow c(b) \,\}$

$$f(a, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, b) \rightarrow^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \rightarrow f(a, b)$$

Definition

A reduction of length α is strongly convergent if for every limit ordinal $\lambda \leq \alpha$ the depth d_β tends to infinity as β approaches λ from below, and divergent, otherwise.

Example

1. $R = \{ a \to b, \ b \to a \}$

$$a \to b \to a \to b \to \ldots$$

   ...is a divergent rewrite sequence of length ω.

2. $R = \{ f(x, x) \to f(a, b), \ a \to c(a), \ b \to c(b) \}$

$$f(a, b) \to^\omega f(c^\omega, b) \to^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \to f(a, b)$$

   ...is a strongly convergent rewrite sequence of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$.

Definition

A reduction of length α is strongly convergent if for every limit ordinal $\lambda \leq \alpha$ the depth d_β tends to infinity as β approaches λ from below, and divergent, otherwise.

Example

1 $R = \{\, a \to b,\ b \to a \,\}$

$$a \to b \to a \to b \to \ldots$$

...is a divergent rewrite sequence of length ω.

2 $R = \{\, f(x, x) \to f(a, b),\ a \to c(a),\ b \to c(b) \,\}$

$$f(a, b) \to^\omega f(c^\omega, b) \to^\omega f(c^\omega, c^\omega) \to f(a, b)$$

...is a strongly convergent rewrite sequence of length $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$.

Lemma

A reduction τ is strongly convergent
$$\iff \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there are only finitely many steps at depth } n \text{ in } \tau.$$

Definition

We write $s \twoheadrightarrow t$ if the rewrite sequence is strongly convergent and with limit t.

But every prefix is convergent!

Definition

We write $s \rightarrowtail t$ if the rewrite sequence is strongly convergent and with limit t.

Example

$R = \{\, a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then $a \rightarrowtail c^{\omega}$.

But every prefix is convergent!

Definition

We write $s \twoheadrightarrow t$ if the rewrite sequence is strongly convergent and with limit t.

Example

$R = \{\, a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then $a \twoheadrightarrow c^{\omega}$.

Lemma

Every proper prefix of a (even divergent) rewrite sequence is strongly convergent.

But every prefix is convergent!

Definition

We write $s \twoheadrightarrow t$ if the rewrite sequence is strongly convergent and with limit t.

Example

$R = \{\, a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$.

Lemma

Every proper prefix of a (even divergent) rewrite sequence is strongly convergent.

Example

$R = \{\, f(x,x) \to f(a,b),\ a \to c(a),\ b \to c(b) \,\}$

$$f(a,b) \to^{\omega \cdot 2 + 1} f(a,b) \to^{\omega \cdot 2 + 1} f(a,b) \to^{\omega \cdot 2 + 1} \dots$$

... is a divergent rewrite sequence of length ω^2.

Definition

We write $s \twoheadrightarrow t$ if the rewrite sequence is strongly convergent and with limit t.

Example

$R = \{\, a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then $a \twoheadrightarrow c^{\omega}$.

Lemma

Every proper prefix of a (even divergent) rewrite sequence is strongly convergent.

Example

$R = \{\, f(x,x) \to f(a,b),\ a \to c(a),\ b \to c(b) \,\}$

$$f(a,b) \to^{\omega \cdot 2 + 1} f(a,b) \to^{\omega \cdot 2 + 1} f(a,b) \to^{\omega \cdot 2 + 1} \ldots$$

... is a divergent rewrite sequence of length ω^2. But every prefix is convergent!

Comparison finitary vs. infinitary rewriting

finitary rewriting	infinitary rewriting
finite reduction	strongly convergent reduction
infinite reduction	divergent reduction

Then R is WN^∞, SN^∞ and CR^∞.

- Let $R = \{\, a \to a,\ a \to c(a)\,\}$. Then R is WN^∞ and CR^∞, but not SN^∞.

Comparison finitary vs. infinitary rewriting

finitary rewriting	infinitary rewriting
finite reduction	strongly convergent reduction
infinite reduction	divergent reduction

Definition

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a TRS and s a term. Then the term s is

- infinitary strongly normalizing (SN^{∞}) if s admits no divergent reductions,

- infinitary weakly normalizing (WN^{∞}) if s admits a reduction to normal form,

- infinitary confluent (CR^{∞}) if $\forall t_1 \twoheadleftarrow\!\!\!\leftarrow s \twoheadrightarrow t_2.\ t_1 \twoheadrightarrow \cdot \twoheadleftarrow\!\!\!\leftarrow t_2$.

Likewise $\mathcal{R}$ has the respective property if all terms from $\mathcal{T}^{\infty}(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ have.

Then R is WN^{∞}, SN^{∞} and CR^{∞}.

- Let $R = \{\, a \to a,\ a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then R is WN^{∞} and CR^{∞}, but not SN^{∞}.

Comparison finitary vs. infinitary rewriting

finitary rewriting	infinitary rewriting
finite reduction	strongly convergent reduction
infinite reduction	divergent reduction

Definition

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a TRS and s a term. Then the term s is

- infinitary strongly normalizing (SN^∞) if s admits no divergent reductions,

- infinitary weakly normalizing (WN^∞) if s admits a reduction to normal form,

- infinitary confluent (CR^∞) if $\forall t_1 \lleftarrow s \rrightarrow t_2.\ t_1 \rrightarrow \cdot \lleftarrow t_2$.

Likewise $\mathcal{R}$ has the respective property if all terms from $\mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ have.

Example

- Let $R = \{\ a \to c(a)\ \}$.

Comparison finitary vs. infinitary rewriting

finitary rewriting	infinitary rewriting
finite reduction	strongly convergent reduction
infinite reduction	divergent reduction

Definition

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a TRS and s a term. Then the term s is

- infinitary strongly normalizing (SN^∞) if s admits no divergent reductions,

- infinitary weakly normalizing (WN^∞) if s admits a reduction to normal form,

- infinitary confluent (CR^∞) if $\forall t_1 \mathrel{\vcenter{\hbox{$\scriptstyle\lll$}}} s \mathrel{\vcenter{\hbox{$\scriptstyle\Rrightarrow$}}} t_2.\ t_1 \mathrel{\vcenter{\hbox{$\scriptstyle\Rrightarrow$}}} \cdot \mathrel{\vcenter{\hbox{$\scriptstyle\lll$}}} t_2$.

Likewise $\mathcal{R}$ has the respective property if all terms from $\mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ have.

Example

- Let $R = \{\, a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then R is WN^∞, SN^∞ and CR^∞.

Comparison finitary vs. infinitary rewriting

finitary rewriting	**infinitary rewriting**
finite reduction	strongly convergent reduction
infinite reduction	divergent reduction

Definition

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a TRS and s a term. Then the term s is

- infinitary strongly normalizing (SN^{∞}) if s admits no divergent reductions,

- infinitary weakly normalizing (WN^{∞}) if s admits a reduction to normal form,

- infinitary confluent (CR^{∞}) if $\forall t_1 \;$⫫$\leftarrow s \twoheadrightarrow\!\!\!\rightarrow t_2.\; t_1 \twoheadrightarrow\!\!\!\rightarrow \cdot \;$⫫$\leftarrow t_2$.

Likewise $\mathcal{R}$ has the respective property if all terms from $\mathcal{T}^{\infty}(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ have.

Example

- Let $R = \{\, a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then R is WN^{∞}, SN^{∞} and CR^{∞}.

- Let $R = \{\, a \to a,\; a \to c(a) \,\}$.

Comparison finitary vs. infinitary rewriting

finitary rewriting	infinitary rewriting
finite reduction	strongly convergent reduction
infinite reduction	divergent reduction

Definition

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a TRS and s a term. Then the term s is

- infinitary strongly normalizing (SN^∞) if s admits no divergent reductions,

- infinitary weakly normalizing (WN^∞) if s admits a reduction to normal form,

- infinitary confluent (CR^∞) if $\forall t_1 \mathbin{\lll} s \mathbin{\lll} t_2.\ t_1 \mathbin{\ggg} \cdot \mathbin{\lll} t_2$.

Likewise $\mathcal{R}$ has the respective property if all terms from $\mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ have.

Example

- Let $R = \{\, a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then R is WN^∞, SN^∞ and CR^∞.

- Let $R = \{\, a \to a,\ a \to c(a) \,\}$. Then R is WN^∞ and CR^∞, but not SN^∞.

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN$ $a \to c(a)$
  Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.
Take $R = \{\, f(x, y) \to y \,\}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN \vee WN$ $\qquad a \to c(a)$

  Here, $a \rightarrowtail c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.

Take $R = \{ f(x, y) \to y \}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \rightarrowtail f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN \vee WN$ $a \to c(a)$
  Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- SN SN^∞

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.
Take $R = \{ f(x, y) \to y \}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN \vee WN$
  $\qquad a \rightarrow c(a)$
  Here, $a \rightarrowtail c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- $SN \not\Rightarrow SN^\infty$
  $\qquad I(x) \rightarrow x$
  Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.
Take $R = \{\ f(x, y) \rightarrow y\ \}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \nRightarrow SN \vee WN$

  $a \to c(a)$

  Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- $SN \nRightarrow SN^\infty \vee WN^\infty$

  $I(x) \to x$

  Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.
Take $R = \{ f(x, y) \to y \}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN \vee WN$ $a \to c(a)$
  Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- $SN \not\Rightarrow SN^\infty \vee WN^\infty$ $I(x) \to x$
  Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.

- CR^∞ CR

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.
Take $R = \{ f(x, y) \to y \}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \nRightarrow SN \vee WN$ $a \to c(a)$
  Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- $SN \nRightarrow SN^\infty \vee WN^\infty$ $I(x) \to x$
  Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.

- $CR^\infty \nRightarrow CR$ $a \to b$, $a \to c$, $b \to d(b)$, $c \to d(c)$
  Here, $\neg(b \downarrow c)$, but $b \twoheadrightarrow d^\omega \twoheadleftarrow c$.

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.
Take $R = \{ f(x, y) \to y \}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN \vee WN$
  $\qquad a \to c(a)$
  $\qquad$ *Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.*

- $SN \not\Rightarrow SN^\infty \vee WN^\infty$
  $\qquad I(x) \to x$
  $\qquad$ *Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.*

- $CR^\infty \not\Rightarrow CR$
  $\qquad a \to b,\ a \to c,\ b \to d(b),\ c \to d(c)$
  $\qquad$ *Here, $\neg(b \downarrow c)$, but $b \twoheadrightarrow d^\omega \twoheadleftarrow c$.*

- $CR \qquad CR^\infty$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN \vee WN$ $a \to c(a)$
  Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- $SN \not\Rightarrow SN^\infty \vee WN^\infty$ $I(x) \to x$
  Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.

- $CR^\infty \not\Rightarrow CR$ $a \to b$, $a \to c$, $b \to d(b)$, $c \to d(c)$
  Here, $\neg(b \downarrow c)$, but $b \twoheadrightarrow d^\omega \twoheadleftarrow c$.

- $CR \not\Rightarrow CR^\infty$ $A(x) \to x$, $B(x) \to x$
  Here, $A^\omega \twoheadleftarrow (AB)^\omega \twoheadrightarrow B^\omega$.

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.
Take $R = \{ f(x, y) \to y \}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark

- $SN^\infty \nRightarrow SN \vee WN$ $a \to c(a)$
  Here, $a \rightarrowtail c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- $SN \nRightarrow SN^\infty \vee WN^\infty$ $I(x) \to x$
  Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.

- $CR^\infty \nRightarrow CR$ $a \to b$, $a \to c$, $b \to d(b)$, $c \to d(c)$
  Here, $\neg(b \downarrow c)$, but $b \rightarrowtail d^\omega \leftarrowtail c$.

- $CR \nRightarrow CR^\infty$ $A(x) \to x$, $B(x) \to x$
  Here, $A^\omega \leftarrowtail (AB)^\omega \rightarrowtail B^\omega$.

Remark

The example $A(x) \to x$, $B(x) \to x$ shows: not every orthogonal TRSs is CR^∞.

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN \vee WN$ $a \to c(a)$
  Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- $SN \not\Rightarrow SN^\infty \vee WN^\infty$ $I(x) \to x$
  Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.

- $CR^\infty \not\Rightarrow CR$ $a \to b,\ a \to c,\ b \to d(b),\ c \to d(c)$
  Here, $\neg(b \downarrow c)$, but $b \twoheadrightarrow d^\omega \twoheadleftarrow c$.

- $CR \not\Rightarrow CR^\infty$ $A(x) \to x,\ B(x) \to x$
  Here, $A^\omega \twoheadleftarrow (AB)^\omega \twoheadrightarrow B^\omega$.

Remark

The example $A(x) \to x,\ B(x) \to x$ shows: not every orthogonal TRSs is CR^∞.

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.

Remark

- $SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow SN \vee WN$ $a \to c(a)$
  Here, $a \twoheadrightarrow c^\omega$ which is a normal form.

- $SN \not\Rightarrow SN^\infty \vee WN^\infty$ $I(x) \to x$
  Here, $I(I(I(\ldots)))$ rewrites only to itself.

- $CR^\infty \not\Rightarrow CR$ $a \to b,\ a \to c,\ b \to d(b),\ c \to d(c)$
  Here, $\neg(b \downarrow c)$, but $b \twoheadrightarrow d^\omega \twoheadleftarrow c$.

- $CR \not\Rightarrow CR^\infty$ $A(x) \to x,\ B(x) \to x$
  Here, $A^\omega \twoheadleftarrow (AB)^\omega \twoheadrightarrow B^\omega$.

Remark

The example $A(x) \to x,\ B(x) \to x$ shows: not every orthogonal TRSs is CR^∞.

Even one collapsing rule is sufficient to violate CR^∞.
Take $R = \{\ f(x, y) \to y\ \}$. Then

$$f(x, f(x, f(x, \ldots))) \twoheadleftarrow f(x, f(y, f(x, f(y, \ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow f(y, f(y, f(y, \ldots)))$$

Remark (The failure of Newmann's Lemma for infinitary rewriting)

$$WCR \wedge SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow CR^\infty$$

Remark (The failure of Newmann's Lemma for infinitary rewriting)

$$WCR \wedge SN^\infty \not\Rightarrow CR^\infty$$

For example:

$$R = \{ \ a \rightarrow b(a),$$
$$a \rightarrow c(a),$$
$$c(b(x)) \rightarrow b(b(x)) \ \}$$

is WCR and SN^∞, but not CR^∞.

Results for (Weakly) Orthogonal TRSs

Theorem

Every weakly orthogonal TRS without collapsing rules is CR^∞.

Results for (Weakly) Orthogonal TRSs

Theorem

Every weakly orthogonal TRS without collapsing rules is CR^∞.

Definition

A TRS $\mathcal{R}$ is UN^∞ if $s \twoheadleftarrow\!\!\!\leftarrow \cdot \rightarrow\!\!\!\twoheadrightarrow t \Rightarrow s = t$ for all normal forms $s, t \in \mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$.

Results for (Weakly) Orthogonal TRSs

Theorem

Every weakly orthogonal TRS without collapsing rules is CR^∞.

Definition

A TRS $\mathcal{R}$ is UN^∞ if $s \twoheadleftarrow \cdot \twoheadrightarrow t \Rightarrow s = t$ for all normal forms $s, t \in \mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$.

Theorem

Every orthogonal TRS is UN^∞.

Results for (Weakly) Orthogonal TRSs

Theorem

Every weakly orthogonal TRS without collapsing rules is CR^∞.

Definition

A TRS $\mathcal{R}$ is UN^∞ if $s \twoheadleftarrow \cdot \twoheadrightarrow t \Rightarrow s = t$ for all normal forms $s, t \in \mathcal{T}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$.

Theorem

Every orthogonal TRS is UN^∞.

Example

Weakly orthogonal TRSs are not necessarily UN^∞:

$$S(P(x)) \to x \qquad\qquad P(S(x)) \to x$$

Then

$$S^\omega \twoheadleftarrow S^1(P^2(S^3(P^4(\ldots)))) \twoheadrightarrow P^\omega$$

Compression and Parallel Moves

Theorem (Compression)

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an left-linear TRS. Then $s \twoheadrightarrow t$ implies $s \rightarrow^{\leq \omega} t$.

That is, every strongly convergent reduction can be compressed to length $\leq \omega$.

Compression and Parallel Moves

Theorem (Compression)

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an left-linear TRS. Then $s \twoheadrightarrow t$ implies $s \rightarrow^{\leq\omega} t$.

That is, every strongly convergent reduction can be compressed to length $\leq \omega$.

Theorem (Parallel Moves)

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an orthogonal TRS. Then $t_1 \leftparallel s \twoheadrightarrow t_2 \Rightarrow t_1 \twoheadrightarrow \cdot \leftparallel t_2$.