

- Lecture 1: Introduction, Abstract Rewriting
- Lecture 2: Term Rewriting
- Lecture 3: Combinatory Logic
- Lecture 4: Termination
- Lecture 5: Matching, Unification
- Lecture 6: Equational Reasoning, Completion
- Lecture 7: Confluence
- Lecture 8: Modularity
- Lecture 9: Strategies
- Lecture 10: Decidability
- Lecture 11: Infinitary Rewriting

Outline

- Overview
- Matching
- Unification
- Common Instances

Matching and Unification

Outline

- Overview
- **Matching**
- Unification
- Common Instances

Definition (Matching Problem)

instance: terms s, t

question: \exists substitution $\sigma: s\sigma = t?$ (s matches t)

Remarks

- term t can be rewritten if left-hand side of rewrite rule matches subterm of t
- matching problem is decidable (in linear time)

Definition (Matching Problem)

instance: terms s, t

question: \exists substitution $\sigma: s\sigma = t?$

Matching Algorithm

- 1 start with $\{s \mapsto t\}$
- 2 repeatedly apply following transformation rules

$$\{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto f(t_1, \dots, t_n)\} \cup S \Rightarrow \{s_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, s_n \mapsto t_n\} \cup S$$

$$\{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto g(t_1, \dots, t_n)\} \cup S \Rightarrow \perp \text{ if } f \neq g$$

$$\{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto x\} \cup S \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\{x \mapsto t\} \cup S \Rightarrow \perp \text{ if } S \text{ contains } x \mapsto t' \text{ with } t \neq t'$$

Examples

- $x + s(y + z)$ matches $s(y) + s((x + s(0)) + z)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x + s(y + z) \mapsto s(y) + s((x + s(0)) + z)\} \\ & \implies \{x \mapsto s(y), s(y + z) \mapsto s((x + s(0)) + z)\} \\ & \implies \{x \mapsto s(y), y + z \mapsto (x + s(0)) + z\} \\ & \implies \{x \mapsto s(y), y \mapsto x + s(0), z \mapsto z\} \end{aligned}$$

- $x^- \cdot (x \cdot y)$ does not match $(e \cdot x)^- \cdot ((e \cdot e) \cdot x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x^- \cdot (x \cdot y) \mapsto (e \cdot x)^- \cdot ((e \cdot e) \cdot x)\} \\ & \implies \{x^- \mapsto (e \cdot x)^-, x \cdot y \mapsto (e \cdot e) \cdot x\} \\ & \implies \{x \mapsto e \cdot x, x \cdot y \mapsto (e \cdot e) \cdot x\} \\ & \implies \{x \mapsto e \cdot x, x \mapsto e \cdot e, y \mapsto x\} \\ & \implies \perp \end{aligned}$$

Outline

- Overview
- Matching
- **Unification**
- Common Instances

Definition

composition of substitutions σ and τ :

$$\sigma\tau = \{x \mapsto \sigma(x)\tau \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

Example

$$\sigma = \{x \mapsto s(y), y \mapsto x + s(0)\} \quad \tau = \{x \mapsto s(0), z \mapsto s(s(y))\}$$

- $\sigma\tau = \{x \mapsto s(y), y \mapsto s(0) + s(0), z \mapsto s(s(y))\}$
- $\tau\sigma = \{x \mapsto s(0), y \mapsto x + s(0), z \mapsto s(s(x + s(0)))\}$

Lemma

$(\rho\sigma)\tau = \rho(\sigma\tau)$ for all substitutions ρ, σ, τ

Definitions

- \leq subsumption

$$s \leq t \iff \exists \sigma: s\sigma = t \quad \text{"s subsumes t"} \quad \text{"t is instance of s"}$$

- $<$ proper subsumption

$$s < t \iff s \leq t \wedge \neg(t \leq s)$$

Example

$$x + y \leq s(y) + s(0) \quad s(x) + y \not\leq x + s(0) \quad s(x) + y \leq s(x) + x$$

Lemma

\succ is well-founded order on terms

Definitions

- \doteq literal similarity
 $s \doteq t \iff s \leq t \wedge t \leq s$
- variable substitution is substitution from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{X}
- renaming is bijective variable substitution
- terms s and t are variants if $s = t\sigma$ for some renaming σ

Lemma

terms s and t are variants $\iff s \doteq t$

Example

$s(x) + s(y + 0) \doteq s(y) + s(z + 0)$ $s(x) + s(y + 0) \not\doteq s(x) + s(x + 0)$

Definition (Unification Problem)

instance: terms s, t

question: \exists substitution $\sigma: s\sigma = t\sigma$?
 $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$
unifier

Definition

substitution σ is at least as general as τ ($\sigma \leq \tau$) if \exists substitution $\rho: \sigma\rho = \tau$

Lemma

\triangleright *is well-founded order on substitutions (with a finite domain)*

Definition

most general unifier (**mg**u) is at least as general as any other unifier

Definition (Unification Rules)

d decomposition

$$\frac{E_1, f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \approx f(t_1, \dots, t_n), E_2}{E_1, s_1 \approx t_1, \dots, s_n \approx t_n, E_2}$$

t removal of trivial equations $(x \in \mathcal{X})$

$$\frac{E_1, x \approx x, E_2}{E_1, E_2}$$

v **variable elimination** $(x \in \mathcal{X})$

$$\frac{E_1, x \approx t, E_2}{(E_1, E_2)\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{E_1, t \approx x, E_2}{(E_1, E_2)\sigma}$$

if $\underbrace{x \notin \text{Var}(t)}_{\text{occurs check}}$ and $\sigma = \{x \mapsto t\}$

Example

$$x + (0 + s(y)) \approx s(z) + (0 + x)$$

$$d \Downarrow$$

$$x \approx s(z), 0 + s(y) \approx 0 + x$$

$$v \Downarrow x \mapsto s(z)$$

$$0 + s(y) \approx 0 + s(z)$$

$$d \Downarrow$$

$$0 \approx 0, s(y) \approx s(z)$$

$$d \Downarrow$$

$$s(y) \approx s(z)$$

$$d \Downarrow$$

$$y \approx z$$

$$v \Downarrow y \mapsto z$$

$$z \approx z$$

$$t \Downarrow$$

□

$$\text{mgu} \quad \{x \mapsto s(z), y \mapsto z\}$$

Theorem

- *there are no infinite derivations*

$$s \approx t \Rightarrow_{\sigma_1} E_1 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_2} E_2 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_3} \dots$$

- *if s and t are unifiable then for every maximal derivation*

$$s \approx t \Rightarrow_{\sigma_1} E_1 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_2} E_2 \Rightarrow_{\sigma_3} \dots \Rightarrow_{\sigma_n} E_n$$

- $E_n = \square$
- $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \dots \sigma_n$ is mgu of s and t

Optional Failure Rules

$$\frac{E_1, f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \approx g(t_1, \dots, t_m), E_2}{\perp}$$

$$\frac{E_1, x \approx t, E_2}{\perp} \quad \frac{E_1, t \approx x, E_2}{\perp}$$

if $x \in \mathcal{V}\text{ar}(t)$

Outline

- Overview
- Matching
- Unification
- **Common Instances**

Definition (Common Instance)

instance: terms s, t

question: \exists substitutions $\sigma, \tau: s\sigma = t\tau$?

Definition

most general common instance (**mgci**) is at least as general as any other common instance

We can compute the most general common instance as follows:

- let γ be a renaming of the variables in t such that s and $t\gamma$ have no variables in common
- the mgu for s and $t\gamma$ is the mgci for s and t

Example

We determine the most general common instance of

$$s = f(s(x), y) \qquad t = f(x, s(0))$$

- we rename the variables in t with $\gamma = \{x \mapsto z\}$

$$t' = t\gamma = f(z, s(0))$$

- the mgu of s and t' is $f(s(x), s(0))$
(using the substitution $\sigma = \{x \mapsto x, y \mapsto s(0), z \mapsto s(x)\}$)

Hence the mgci of s and t is $f(s(x), s(0))$.

That is, $s\sigma = t(\gamma\sigma)$, or:

$$s[x \mapsto x, y \mapsto s(x)] = t[x \mapsto s(x)]$$