

Automata Theory :: Recursively Enumerable Languages

Jörg Endrullis

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Recursively Enumerable Languages

A language L is **recursively enumerable** if L is accepted by a (deterministic) Turing machine.

Recursively Enumerable Languages

A language L is **recursively enumerable** if L is accepted by a (deterministic) Turing machine.

Equivalently, a language L is recursively enumerable if there exists a Turing machine **enumerates all words** in L .

Recursively Enumerable Languages

A language L is **recursively enumerable** if L is accepted by a (deterministic) Turing machine.

Equivalently, a language L is recursively enumerable if there exists a Turing machine **enumerates all words** in L .

Intuitively, the Turing machine writes on the tape

$$\#w_1\#w_2\#w_3\#\dots$$

such that $L = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots\}$.

Recursively Enumerable Languages

A language L is **recursively enumerable** if L is accepted by a (deterministic) Turing machine.

Equivalently, a language L is recursively enumerable if there exists a Turing machine **enumerates all words** in L .

Intuitively, the Turing machine writes on the tape

$$\#w_1\#w_2\#w_3\#\dots$$

such that $L = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots\}$.

If L is infinite, this computation never stops! Every word from L will eventually be written on the tape.

Recursively Enumerable Languages

A language L is **recursively enumerable** if L is accepted by a (deterministic) Turing machine.

Equivalently, a language L is recursively enumerable if there exists a Turing machine **enumerates all words** in L .

Intuitively, the Turing machine writes on the tape

$$\#w_1\#w_2\#w_3\#\dots$$

such that $L = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots\}$.

If L is infinite, this computation never stops! Every word from L will eventually be written on the tape.

Then w_1, w_2, \dots is called a **recursive enumeration** of L .

Turing Machines are Recursively Enumerable

Theorem

Turing machines are recursively enumerable.

Turing Machines are Recursively Enumerable

Theorem

Turing machines are recursively enumerable.

Proof.

- A Turing machine can be represented as a word.
- A parser can check whether a word represents a TM.
(If so, accept.)



Turing Machines are Recursively Enumerable

Theorem

Turing machines are recursively enumerable.

Proof.

- A Turing machine can be represented as a word.
- A parser can check whether a word represents a TM.
(If so, accept.)



Thus, there is a recursive enumeration of all Turing machines:

$$M_1, M_2, \dots$$

Turing Machines are Recursively Enumerable

Theorem

Turing machines are recursively enumerable.

Proof.

- A Turing machine can be represented as a word.
- A parser can check whether a word represents a TM.
(If so, accept.)



Thus, there is a recursive enumeration of all Turing machines:

$$M_1, M_2, \dots$$

Formally, we enumerate words describing Turing machines. But this does not matter since we have a universal Turing machine that can execute these descriptions.

Properties of Recursively Enumerable Languages

Union and Intersection

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so are

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$

$$L_1 \cap L_2$$

Union and Intersection

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so are

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$

$$L_1 \cap L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1$$

$$L(M_2) = L_2$$

Union and Intersection

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so are

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$

$$L_1 \cap L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1$$

$$L(M_2) = L_2$$

Create a Turing machine M that runs M_1 and M_2 in parallel.
(Alternating simulate one step of M_1 and one step of M_2 .)

Union and Intersection

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so are

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$

$$L_1 \cap L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1$$

$$L(M_2) = L_2$$

Create a Turing machine M that runs M_1 and M_2 in parallel.
(Alternating simulate one step of M_1 and one step of M_2 .)

- For $L(M) = L_1 \cup L_2$,
let M accept as soon as M_1 accepts or M_2 accepts.

Union and Intersection

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so are

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$

$$L_1 \cap L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1$$

$$L(M_2) = L_2$$

Create a Turing machine M that runs M_1 and M_2 in parallel.
(Alternating simulate one step of M_1 and one step of M_2 .)

- For $L(M) = L_1 \cup L_2$,
let M accept as soon as M_1 accepts or M_2 accepts.
- For $L(M) = L_1 \cap L_2$,
let M accept as soon as both M_1 and M_2 accept.

Union and Intersection

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so are

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$

$$L_1 \cap L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1$$

$$L(M_2) = L_2$$

Create a Turing machine M that runs M_1 and M_2 in parallel.
(Alternating simulate one step of M_1 and one step of M_2 .)

- For $L(M) = L_1 \cup L_2$,
let M accept as soon as M_1 accepts or M_2 accepts.
- For $L(M) = L_1 \cap L_2$,
let M accept as soon as both M_1 and M_2 accept.

What about $L_1 \setminus L_2$?

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Define the language L by

$$L = \{ a^i \mid a^i \in L(M_i), i \geq 1 \}$$

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Define the language L by

$$L = \{ a^i \mid a^i \in L(M_i), i \geq 1 \}$$

Then L is recursively enumerable.

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Define the language L by

$$L = \{ a^i \mid a^i \in L(M_i), i \geq 1 \}$$

Then L is recursively enumerable.

If \bar{L} was recursively enumerable

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Define the language L by

$$L = \{ a^i \mid a^i \in L(M_i), i \geq 1 \}$$

Then L is recursively enumerable.

If \bar{L} was recursively enumerable: $\bar{L} = L(M_k)$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Define the language L by

$$L = \{ a^i \mid a^i \in L(M_i), i \geq 1 \}$$

Then L is recursively enumerable.

If \bar{L} was recursively enumerable: $\bar{L} = L(M_k)$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Then

$$a^k \in \bar{L} \iff a^k \in L(M_k)$$

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Define the language L by

$$L = \{ a^i \mid a^i \in L(M_i), i \geq 1 \}$$

Then L is recursively enumerable.

If \bar{L} was recursively enumerable: $\bar{L} = L(M_k)$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Then

$$a^k \in \bar{L} \iff a^k \in L(M_k) \iff a^k \in L$$

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Define the language L by

$$L = \{ a^i \mid a^i \in L(M_i), i \geq 1 \}$$

Then L is recursively enumerable.

If \bar{L} was recursively enumerable: $\bar{L} = L(M_k)$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Then

$$a^k \in \bar{L} \iff a^k \in L(M_k) \iff a^k \in L$$

Contradiction. Hence \bar{L} is not recursively enumerable. □

Complement

There exist recursively enumerable languages L , for which the complement \bar{L} is **not** recursively enumerable.

Proof.

Let M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots be a recursive enumeration of all TMs.

Define the language L by

$$L = \{ a^i \mid a^i \in L(M_i), i \geq 1 \}$$

Then L is recursively enumerable.

If \bar{L} was recursively enumerable: $\bar{L} = L(M_k)$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Then

$$a^k \in \bar{L} \iff a^k \in L(M_k) \iff a^k \in L$$

Contradiction. Hence \bar{L} is not recursively enumerable. □

$L_1 \setminus L_2$ is not always recursively enumerable since $\bar{L} = \Sigma^* \setminus L$.

Concatenation

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so is

$$L_1L_2$$

Concatenation

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so is

$$L_1L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1$$

$$L(M_2) = L_2$$

Concatenation

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so is

$$L_1 L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1 \qquad L(M_2) = L_2$$

A split of a word w is a pair (w_1, w_2) such that $w = w_1 w_2$.

Concatenation

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so is

$$L_1 L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1 \qquad L(M_2) = L_2$$

A split of a word w is a pair (w_1, w_2) such that $w = w_1 w_2$.

We call the split good if M_1 accepts w_1 and M_2 accepts w_2 .

Concatenation

Theorem

If L_1 and L_2 are recursively enumerable languages, then so is

$$L_1L_2$$

Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines such that

$$L(M_1) = L_1$$

$$L(M_2) = L_2$$

A split of a word w is a pair (w_1, w_2) such that $w = w_1w_2$.

We call the split good if M_1 accepts w_1 and M_2 accepts w_2 .

Create a Turing machine N that

- computes all splits of the input word w
- checks **all splits in parallel** whether they are good
- accepts the input w as soon as a good split is found

Then $L(N) = L_1L_2$.

Kleene Star

Theorem

If L is a recursively enumerable language, then so is

$$L^*$$

Kleene Star

Theorem

If L is a recursively enumerable language, then so is

$$L^*$$

Let M be a Turing machine such that $L(M) = L$.

Kleene Star

Theorem

If L is a recursively enumerable language, then so is

$$L^*$$

Let M be a Turing machine such that $L(M) = L$.

A partitioning of a word $w \neq \lambda$ are non-empty words (w_1, \dots, w_n) for some $n \leq |w|$ such that $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$.

Kleene Star

Theorem

If L is a recursively enumerable language, then so is

$$L^*$$

Let M be a Turing machine such that $L(M) = L$.

A partitioning of a word $w \neq \lambda$ are non-empty words (w_1, \dots, w_n) for some $n \leq |w|$ such that $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$.

The partitioning is good if M accepts all words w_1, \dots, w_n .

Kleene Star

Theorem

If L is a recursively enumerable language, then so is

$$L^*$$

Let M be a Turing machine such that $L(M) = L$.

A partitioning of a word $w \neq \lambda$ are non-empty words (w_1, \dots, w_n) for some $n \leq |w|$ such that $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$.

The partitioning is good if M accepts all words w_1, \dots, w_n .

Create a Turing machine N that

- computes all partitionings of the input word $w \neq \lambda$
- checks **all partitionings in parallel** whether they are good
- accepts the input w as soon as a good partitioning is found

Then $L(N) = L^*$.

Recursive Languages

Recursive Languages

A language L is **recursive** if

- L is recursively enumerable, and
- \bar{L} is recursively enumerable.

Recursive Languages

A language L is **recursive** if

- L is recursively enumerable, and
- \bar{L} is recursively enumerable.

Not every recursively enumerable language is recursive!

(See the a few slides back.)

Recursive Languages

A language L is **recursive** if

- L is recursively enumerable, and
- \bar{L} is recursively enumerable.

Not every recursively enumerable language is recursive!

(See the a few slides back.)

Theorem

A language L is recursive \iff L is accepted by a deterministic TM M that reaches for every input a halting state.

Proof on the next slide.

Proof

(\Leftarrow) L accepted by deterministic TM M that always halts.

Proof

(\Leftarrow) L accepted by deterministic TM M that always halts.

We show that \bar{L} is recursively enumerable.

Proof

(\Leftarrow) L accepted by deterministic TM M that always halts.

We show that \bar{L} is recursively enumerable.

From M we construct a Turing machine N as follows:

- Add a fresh state q_f .
- For all $q \in Q \setminus F$ and $a \in \Gamma$:
if $\delta(q, a)$ undefined, define $\delta(q, a) = (q_f, a, R)$.
- Make q_f the only final state.

Proof

(\Leftarrow) L accepted by deterministic TM M that always halts.

We show that \overline{L} is recursively enumerable.

From M we construct a Turing machine N as follows:

- Add a fresh state q_f .
- For all $q \in Q \setminus F$ and $a \in \Gamma$:
if $\delta(q, a)$ undefined, define $\delta(q, a) = (q_f, a, R)$.
- Make q_f the only final state.

Then $L(N) = \overline{L(M)}$, thus $L(M)$ is recursive.

Proof

(\Leftarrow) L accepted by deterministic TM M that always halts.

We show that \bar{L} is recursively enumerable.

From M we construct a Turing machine N as follows:

- Add a fresh state q_f .
- For all $q \in Q \setminus F$ and $a \in \Gamma$:
if $\delta(q, a)$ undefined, define $\delta(q, a) = (q_f, a, R)$.
- Make q_f the only final state.

Then $L(N) = \overline{L(M)}$, thus $L(M)$ is recursive.

(\Rightarrow) L and \bar{L} accepted by deterministic TMs M_1 and M_2 .

Proof

(\Leftarrow) L accepted by deterministic TM M that always halts.

We show that \bar{L} is recursively enumerable.

From M we construct a Turing machine N as follows:

- Add a fresh state q_f .
- For all $q \in Q \setminus F$ and $a \in \Gamma$:
if $\delta(q, a)$ undefined, define $\delta(q, a) = (q_f, a, R)$.
- Make q_f the only final state.

Then $L(N) = \overline{L(M)}$, thus $L(M)$ is recursive.

(\Rightarrow) L and \bar{L} accepted by deterministic TMs M_1 and M_2 .

Construct a TM M executes M_1 and M_2 in parallel:

- M **accepts** when M_1 accepts
- M has **non-accepting** halting state when M_2 accepts

Proof

(\Leftarrow) L accepted by deterministic TM M that always halts.

We show that \bar{L} is recursively enumerable.

From M we construct a Turing machine N as follows:

- Add a fresh state q_f .
- For all $q \in Q \setminus F$ and $a \in \Gamma$:
if $\delta(q, a)$ undefined, define $\delta(q, a) = (q_f, a, R)$.
- Make q_f the only final state.

Then $L(N) = \overline{L(M)}$, thus $L(M)$ is recursive.

(\Rightarrow) L and \bar{L} accepted by deterministic TMs M_1 and M_2 .

Construct a TM M executes M_1 and M_2 in parallel:

- M **accepts** when M_1 accepts
- M has **non-accepting** halting state when M_2 accepts

Then $L(M) = L(M_1) = L$, and M halts for every input.

Properties of Recursive Languages

Theorem

If L , L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then so are

$$\bar{L} \quad L_1 \cup L_2 \quad L_1 \cap L_2 \quad L_1 \setminus L_2 \quad L^* \quad L_1 L_2$$

Properties of Recursive Languages

Theorem

If L , L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then so are

$$\bar{L} \quad L_1 \cup L_2 \quad L_1 \cap L_2 \quad L_1 \setminus L_2 \quad L^* \quad L_1 L_2$$

Proof.

Let L , \bar{L} , L_1 , \bar{L}_1 , L_2 and \bar{L}_2 be recursively enumerable (r.e.).

Properties of Recursive Languages

Theorem

If L , L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then so are

$$\bar{L} \quad L_1 \cup L_2 \quad L_1 \cap L_2 \quad L_1 \setminus L_2 \quad L^* \quad L_1 L_2$$

Proof.

Let L , \bar{L} , L_1 , \bar{L}_1 , L_2 and \bar{L}_2 be recursively enumerable (r.e.).

- \bar{L} : \bar{L} and $\bar{\bar{L}} = L$ are r.e.

Properties of Recursive Languages

Theorem

If L , L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then so are

$$\bar{L} \quad L_1 \cup L_2 \quad L_1 \cap L_2 \quad L_1 \setminus L_2 \quad L^* \quad L_1 L_2$$

Proof.

Let L , \bar{L} , L_1 , \bar{L}_1 , L_2 and \bar{L}_2 be recursively enumerable (r.e.).

- \bar{L} : \bar{L} and $\bar{\bar{L}} = L$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \cup L_2$: $L_1 \cup L_2$ and $\overline{L_1 \cup L_2} = \bar{L}_1 \cap \bar{L}_2$ are r.e.

Properties of Recursive Languages

Theorem

If L , L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then so are

$$\bar{L} \quad L_1 \cup L_2 \quad L_1 \cap L_2 \quad L_1 \setminus L_2 \quad L^* \quad L_1 L_2$$

Proof.

Let L , \bar{L} , L_1 , \bar{L}_1 , L_2 and \bar{L}_2 be recursively enumerable (r.e.).

- \bar{L} : \bar{L} and $\bar{\bar{L}} = L$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \cup L_2$: $L_1 \cup L_2$ and $\overline{L_1 \cup L_2} = \bar{L}_1 \cap \bar{L}_2$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \cap L_2$: $L_1 \cap L_2$ and $\overline{L_1 \cap L_2} = \bar{L}_1 \cup \bar{L}_2$ are r.e.

Properties of Recursive Languages

Theorem

If L , L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then so are

$$\bar{L} \quad L_1 \cup L_2 \quad L_1 \cap L_2 \quad L_1 \setminus L_2 \quad L^* \quad L_1 L_2$$

Proof.

Let L , \bar{L} , L_1 , \bar{L}_1 , L_2 and \bar{L}_2 be recursively enumerable (r.e.).

- \bar{L} : \bar{L} and $\bar{\bar{L}} = L$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \cup L_2$: $L_1 \cup L_2$ and $\overline{L_1 \cup L_2} = \bar{L}_1 \cap \bar{L}_2$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \cap L_2$: $L_1 \cap L_2$ and $\overline{L_1 \cap L_2} = \bar{L}_1 \cup \bar{L}_2$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \setminus L_2 = L_1 \cap \bar{L}_2$

Properties of Recursive Languages

Theorem

If L , L_1 and L_2 are recursive, then so are

$$\bar{L} \quad L_1 \cup L_2 \quad L_1 \cap L_2 \quad L_1 \setminus L_2 \quad L^* \quad L_1 L_2$$

Proof.

Let L , \bar{L} , L_1 , \bar{L}_1 , L_2 and \bar{L}_2 be recursively enumerable (r.e.).

- \bar{L} : \bar{L} and $\bar{\bar{L}} = L$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \cup L_2$: $L_1 \cup L_2$ and $\overline{L_1 \cup L_2} = \bar{L}_1 \cap \bar{L}_2$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \cap L_2$: $L_1 \cap L_2$ and $\overline{L_1 \cap L_2} = \bar{L}_1 \cup \bar{L}_2$ are r.e.
- $L_1 \setminus L_2 = L_1 \cap \bar{L}_2$
- $L_1 L_2$, L^* : same proof as for recursively enumerable languages. Observe that the constructed Turing machine halts on all inputs if M_1 , M_2 and M do.

