# Automata Theory :: Properties of Context-Free Languages

Jörg Endrullis

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

$$-1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

for i = 1, 2.

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

 $L_1^R$ 

### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

for i = 1, 2. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have no variables in common.

 $\blacksquare L_1 \cup L_2$ :

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

#### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

for i = 1, 2. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have no variables in common.

■  $L_1 \cup L_2$ : Add rules  $S \to S_1 \mid S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

- **L**<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$   $L_2$ : Add rules  $S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- $\blacksquare$   $L_1L_2$ :

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

#### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

- **L**<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$   $L_2$ : Add rules  $S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- $L_1L_2$ : Add  $S \to S_1S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

#### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

- **L**<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$   $L_2$ : Add rules  $S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- $L_1L_2$ : Add  $S \to S_1S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- L\*:

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

#### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

- **L**<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$   $L_2$ : Add rules  $S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- **L**<sub>1</sub>L<sub>2</sub>: Add  $S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- $L_1^*$ : Add  $S \to S_1 S \mid \lambda$ , and pick S as start variable.

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$
  $L_1 L_2$ 

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^R$$

### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

- **L**<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$   $L_2$ : Add rules  $S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- **L**<sub>1</sub>L<sub>2</sub>: Add  $S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- $L_1^*$ : Add  $S \to S_1 S \mid \lambda$ , and pick S as start variable.
- *L*<sup>R</sup>:

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free, then also

$$L_1 \cup L_2$$

$$L_1L_2$$

$$L_1^*$$

$$L_1^R$$

#### Proof.

Let  $G_i$  be a context-free grammar with start variable  $S_i$  s.t.

$$L_i = L(G_i)$$

- $L_1 \cup L_2$ : Add rules  $S \to S_1 \mid S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- $L_1L_2$ : Add  $S \to S_1S_2$ , and pick S as start variable.
- $L_1^*$ : Add  $S \to S_1 S \mid \lambda$ , and pick S as start variable.
- **L**<sub>1</sub><sup>R</sup>: Reverse all rules  $(x \to y \text{ becomes } x^R \to y^R)$ .

The intersection  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is not always context-free. (for context free languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ )

The intersection  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is not always context-free. (for context free languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ )

The languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free:

$$L_{1} = \{ a^{n}b^{n}c^{m} \mid n \geq 0 \land m \geq 0 \}$$
  

$$L_{2} = \{ a^{n}b^{m}c^{m} \mid n \geq 0 \land m \geq 0 \}$$

The intersection  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is not always context-free. (for context free languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ )

The languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free:

$$L_1 = \{ a^n b^n c^m \mid n \ge 0 \land m \ge 0 \}$$
  

$$L_2 = \{ a^n b^m c^m \mid n \ge 0 \land m \ge 0 \}$$

However  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{ a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$  is **not** context-free.

The intersection  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is not always context-free. (for context free languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ )

The languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free:

$$L_1 = \{ a^n b^n c^m \mid n \ge 0 \land m \ge 0 \}$$
  
 
$$L_2 = \{ a^n b^m c^m \mid n \ge 0 \land m \ge 0 \}$$

However  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{ a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$  is **not** context-free.

Also  $L_1$  and  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  are not always context-free. (for context free languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ )

The intersection  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is not always context-free. (for context free languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ )

The languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free:

$$L_1 = \{ a^n b^n c^m \mid n \ge 0 \land m \ge 0 \}$$
  
 
$$L_2 = \{ a^n b^m c^m \mid n \ge 0 \land m \ge 0 \}$$

However  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{ a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$  is **not** context-free.

Also  $L_1$  and  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  are not always context-free. (for context free languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ )

Namely, we have:

$$L_1\cap L_2=\overline{\overline{L_1}\cup\overline{L_2}}\qquad \qquad \overline{L_1}=\Sigma^*\setminus L_1$$

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is context-free.

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is context-free.

#### Construction

Let

- $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z, F)$  be an NPDA accepting  $L_1$ , and
- $N = (R, \Sigma, \epsilon, r_0, G)$  a DFA accepting  $L_2$ .

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is context-free.

#### Construction

Let

- $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z, F)$  be an NPDA accepting  $L_1$ , and
- $\blacksquare$   $N = (R, \Sigma, \epsilon, r_0, G)$  a DFA accepting  $L_2$ .

We construct an NPDA  $\widehat{M} = (\widehat{Q}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \widehat{\delta}, \widehat{q}_0, \mathbf{z}, \widehat{F})$  where

$$\widehat{Q} = Q \times R$$
  $\widehat{q}_0$ 

$$\widehat{Q} = Q \times R$$
  $\widehat{q}_0 = (q_0, r_0)$   $\widehat{F} = F \times G$ 

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is context-free.

#### Construction

Let

- $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z, F)$  be an NPDA accepting  $L_1$ , and
- $N = (R, \Sigma, \epsilon, r_0, G)$  a DFA accepting  $L_2$ .

We construct an NPDA  $\widehat{M} = (\widehat{Q}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \widehat{\delta}, \widehat{q}_0, \mathbf{z}, \widehat{F})$  where

$$\widehat{Q} = Q \times R$$
  $\widehat{q}_0 = (q_0, r_0)$   $\widehat{F} = F \times G$ 

The transition function  $\hat{\delta}$  is defined by:

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is context-free.

#### Construction

Let

- $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z, F)$  be an NPDA accepting  $L_1$ , and
- $N = (R, \Sigma, \epsilon, r_0, G)$  a DFA accepting  $L_2$ .

We construct an NPDA  $\widehat{M} = (\widehat{Q}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \widehat{\delta}, \widehat{q}_0, \mathbf{z}, \widehat{F})$  where

$$\widehat{Q} = Q \times R$$
  $\widehat{q}_0 = (q_0, r_0)$   $\widehat{F} = F \times G$ 

The transition function  $\hat{\delta}$  is defined by:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{M}}: (\mathbf{q}, r) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{b}/\mathbf{v}]} (\mathbf{q}', r') \text{ if } \mathbf{M}: \mathbf{q} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{b}/\mathbf{v}]} \mathbf{q}' \text{ and } \mathbf{N}: r \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} r'$$

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is context-free.

#### Construction

Let

- $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z, F)$  be an NPDA accepting  $L_1$ , and
- $N = (R, \Sigma, \epsilon, r_0, G)$  a DFA accepting  $L_2$ .

We construct an NPDA  $\widehat{M} = (\widehat{Q}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \widehat{\delta}, \widehat{q}_0, \mathbf{z}, \widehat{F})$  where

$$\widehat{Q} = Q \times R$$
  $\widehat{q}_0 = (q_0, r_0)$   $\widehat{F} = F \times G$ 

The transition function  $\hat{\delta}$  is defined by:

- $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}: (\mathbf{q}, r) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{b}/\mathbf{v}]} (\mathbf{q}', r') \text{ if } \mathbf{M}: \mathbf{q} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{b}/\mathbf{v}]} \mathbf{q}' \text{ and } \mathbf{N}: r \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} r'$
- $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}: (\mathbf{q}, r) \xrightarrow{\lambda[\mathbf{b}/\mathbf{v}]} (\mathbf{q}', r) \text{ if } \mathbf{M}: \mathbf{q} \xrightarrow{\lambda[\mathbf{b}/\mathbf{v}]} \mathbf{q}'$

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is context-free.

#### Construction

Let

- $\blacksquare$   $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z, F)$  be an NPDA accepting  $L_1$ , and
- $N = (R, \Sigma, \epsilon, r_0, G)$  a DFA accepting  $L_2$ .

We construct an NPDA  $\widehat{M} = (\widehat{Q}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \widehat{\delta}, \widehat{q}_0, \mathbf{z}, \widehat{F})$  where

$$\widehat{Q} = Q \times R$$
  $\widehat{q}_0 = (q_0, r_0)$   $\widehat{F} = F \times G$ 

The transition function  $\hat{\delta}$  is defined by:

- $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}: (q,r) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{b}/\mathbf{v}]} (q',r') \text{ if } \mathbf{M}: q \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{b}/\mathbf{v}]} q' \text{ and } \mathbf{N}: r \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} r'$
- $\widehat{M}: (q,r) \xrightarrow{\lambda[b/v]} (q',r) \text{ if } M: q \xrightarrow{\lambda[b/v]} q'$

Then  $L(\widehat{M}) = L(M) \cap L(N)$ .

### Question

### Question

Why does the construction not work for two NPDA's? (instead of an NPDA and a DFA)

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  is context-free.

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  is context-free.

#### Proof.

 $\overline{L_2}$  is regular, thus  $L_1 \setminus L_2 = L_1 \cap \overline{L_2}$  is context-free.

#### **Theorem**

If  $L_1$  is context-free and  $L_2$  regular, then  $L_1 \setminus L_2$  is context-free.

### Proof.

 $\overline{L_2}$  is regular, thus  $L_1 \setminus L_2 = L_1 \cap \overline{L_2}$  is context-free.

$$L_2 \setminus L_1$$
 is **not** always context-free. Namely

$$\overline{L_1} = \Sigma^* \backslash L_1$$

 $L \setminus \{\lambda\}$  is context-free for every context-free language L.

 $L \setminus \{\lambda\}$  is context-free for every context-free language L.

 $\{a^nb^n \mid n \ge 1000\}$  is context-free.

 $L \setminus \{\lambda\}$  is context-free for every context-free language L.

 $\{a^nb^n \mid n \ge 1000\}$  is context-free.

Show that the language

$$L = \{ w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \mid n_a(w) = n_b(w) = n_c(w) \}$$

is **not** context-free.

 $L \setminus \{\lambda\}$  is context-free for every context-free language L.

 $\{a^nb^n\mid n\geq 1000\}$  is context-free.

Show that the language

$$L = \{ w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \mid n_a(w) = n_b(w) = n_c(w) \}$$

is not context-free.

For a contradiction, assume *L* was context-free.

 $L \setminus \{\lambda\}$  is context-free for every context-free language L.

$$\{a^nb^n \mid n \ge 1000\}$$
 is context-free.

Show that the language

$$L = \{ w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \mid n_a(w) = n_b(w) = n_c(w) \}$$

is not context-free.

For a contradiction, assume *L* was context-free.

The language  $L(a^*b^*c^*)$  is regular, thus

$$L \cap L(a^*b^*c^*) = \{ a^nb^nc^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$$

would be context-free.

 $L \setminus \{\lambda\}$  is context-free for every context-free language L.

$$\{a^nb^n \mid n \ge 1000\}$$
 is context-free.

Show that the language

$$L = \{ w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \mid n_a(w) = n_b(w) = n_c(w) \}$$

is not context-free.

For a contradiction, assume L was context-free.

The language  $L(a^*b^*c^*)$  is regular, thus

$$L \cap L(a^*b^*c^*) = \{ a^nb^nc^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$$

would be context-free. However, we know that it is not.

 $L \setminus \{\lambda\}$  is context-free for every context-free language L.

 $\{a^nb^n\mid n\geq 1000\}$  is context-free.

Show that the language

$$L = \{ w \in \{a,b,c\}^* \mid n_a(w) = n_b(w) = n_c(w) \}$$
 is **not** context-free.

For a contradiction, assume *L* was context-free.

The language  $L(a^*b^*c^*)$  is regular, thus

$$L \cap L(a^*b^*c^*) = \{ a^nb^nc^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$$

would be context-free. However, we know that it is not.

Contradiction. Thus *L* is not context-free.



Given context-free grammar G and H.

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

Which of the following questions are decidable?

1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

- 1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?
- 2. Is *L*(*G*) empty?

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

- 1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?
- 2. Is *L*(*G*) empty?
- 3. Does  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$  hold?

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

- 1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?
- 2. Is *L*(*G*) empty?
- 3. Does  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$  hold?
- 4. Does L(G) contain a palindrome ( $w = w^R$ )?

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

- 1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?
- 2. Is *L*(*G*) empty?
- 3. Does  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$  hold?
- 4. Does L(G) contain a palindrome ( $w = w^R$ )?
- 5. Does L(G) = L(H) hold?

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

- 1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?
- 2. Is *L*(*G*) empty?
- 3. Does  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$  hold?
- 4. Does L(G) contain a palindrome ( $w = w^R$ )?
- 5. Does L(G) = L(H) hold?
- 6. Is  $L(G) \cap L(H)$  empty?

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

Which of the following questions are **decidable**?

- 1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?
- 2. Is *L*(*G*) empty?
- 3. Does  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$  hold?
- 4. Does L(G) contain a palindrome  $(w = w^R)$ ?
- 5. Does L(G) = L(H) hold?
- **6.** Is  $L(G) \cap L(H)$  empty?

Only the first two questions are decidable.

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

Which of the following questions are **decidable**?

- 1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?
- 2. Is L(G) empty?
- 3. Does  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$  hold?
- 4. Does L(G) contain a palindrome  $(w = w^R)$ ?
- 5. Does L(G) = L(H) hold?
- 6. Is  $L(G) \cap L(H)$  empty?

Only the first two questions are decidable.

Remove all  $\lambda$  and unit productions.

- 1.  $\{v \mid S \Rightarrow^* v, |v| \le |w|\}$  can be computed in finite time.
- 2. L(G) is empty  $\iff$  starting variable is useless.

Given context-free grammar *G* and *H*.

Which of the following questions are **decidable**?

- 1. Given  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , do we have  $w \in L(G)$ ?
- 2. Is L(G) empty?
- 3. Does  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$  hold?
- 4. Does L(G) contain a palindrome  $(w = w^R)$ ?
- 5. Does L(G) = L(H) hold?
- 6. Is  $L(G) \cap L(H)$  empty?

Only the first two questions are decidable.

Remove all  $\lambda$  and unit productions.

- 1.  $\{v \mid S \Rightarrow^* v, |v| \le |w|\}$  can be computed in finite time.
- 2. L(G) is empty  $\iff$  starting variable is useless.

Surprisingly all other questions are undecidable.