

Automata Theory :: Chomsky Normal Form

Jörg Endrullis

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Lambda Rules and Erasable Variables

Lambda Rules and Erasable Variables

A production rule $A \rightarrow \lambda$ is called **λ -production rule**.

A variable A is called **erasable** if $A \Rightarrow^+ \lambda$.

The set of erasable variables can be computed as follows:

- If $A \rightarrow \lambda$, then A is erasable.
- If $A \rightarrow B_1 \cdots B_n$ and B_1, \dots, B_n are erasable, then so is A .

$$\begin{array}{llll} S \rightarrow AcB & A \rightarrow CBC & B \rightarrow abB & C \rightarrow cCd \\ & & B \rightarrow \lambda & C \rightarrow BB \end{array}$$

We determine the set of erasable variables:

- B is erasable because of the rule $B \rightarrow \lambda$
- C is erasable because of $C \rightarrow BB$ and B is erasable
- A is erasable because of $A \rightarrow CBC$ and B, C are erasable

So the variables A, B, C are erasable.

Removal of Lambda Rules

Theorem

For every context-free language L there exists a context-free grammar G without λ -rules such that $L(G) = L \setminus \{\lambda\}$.

Construction

Let G be a context-free grammar with $L(G) = L$.

- Determine all erasable variables (that is, variables $A \Rightarrow^* \lambda$).
- For every rule $A \rightarrow xBy$ with $B \Rightarrow^* \lambda$, add a rule $A \rightarrow xy$.
- Remove all λ -production rules.

The resulting grammar G has the property $L(G) = L \setminus \{\lambda\}$.

Exercise

Consider the following grammar

$$\begin{array}{llll} S \rightarrow ABaC & A \rightarrow BC & B \rightarrow b \mid \lambda & D \rightarrow d \\ & & C \rightarrow D \mid \lambda & \end{array}$$

What variables are erasable?

- A , B and C

Construct the resulting grammar after removing all λ -rules:

$$\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow ABaC \mid BaC \mid AaC \mid ABa \mid aC \mid Ba \mid Aa \mid a \\ A \rightarrow BC \mid C \mid B \mid \lambda \\ B \rightarrow b \mid \lambda \qquad C \rightarrow D \mid \lambda \qquad D \rightarrow d \end{array}$$

Exercise

Consider the following grammar

$$\begin{array}{llll} S \rightarrow ABaC & A \rightarrow BC & B \rightarrow b \mid \lambda & D \rightarrow d \\ & & C \rightarrow D \mid \lambda & \end{array}$$

What variables are erasable?

- A , B and C

Construct the resulting grammar after removing all λ -rules:

$$S \rightarrow ABaC \mid BaC \mid AaC \mid ABa \mid aC \mid Ba \mid Aa \mid a$$

$$A \rightarrow BC \mid C \mid B$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

$$C \rightarrow D$$

$$D \rightarrow d$$

Unit Production Rules

Removal of Unit Production Rules

A rule $A \rightarrow B$ is called **unit production rule** (here $B \in V$).

Theorem

For every context-free language L there is a context-free grammar G **without λ - and unit-productions** with $L(G) = L \setminus \{\lambda\}$.

Construction

Let G be context-free, without λ -rules, and $L(G) = L \setminus \{\lambda\}$.

- Determine all pairs $A \neq B$ with $A \Rightarrow^+ B$.
- Whenever $A \Rightarrow^+ B$ and $B \rightarrow y$ is a rule, add a rule $A \rightarrow y$.
- Remove all unit production rules.

The resulting grammar G has no λ - and unit-productions and it has the property $L(G) = L \setminus \{\lambda\}$.

Exercise

Remove all unit production rules from

$$S \rightarrow Aa \mid B \quad A \rightarrow a \mid bc \mid B \quad B \rightarrow A \mid bb$$

Note that there are no λ -productions.

(So no need to first remove λ -productions.)

We determine all pairs $A \neq B$ with $A \Rightarrow^+ B$:

$$S \Rightarrow^+ B \quad A \Rightarrow^+ B \quad B \Rightarrow^+ A \quad S \Rightarrow^+ A$$

Thus we add the following rules:

$$S \rightarrow Aa \mid B \mid a \mid bc \mid A \mid bb$$

$$A \rightarrow a \mid bc \mid B \mid A \mid bb$$

$$B \rightarrow A \mid bb \mid a \mid bc \mid B$$

Removing all unit production rules yields the final result:

$$S \rightarrow a \mid bb \mid bc \mid Aa \quad A \rightarrow a \mid bb \mid bc \quad B \rightarrow a \mid bb \mid bc$$

Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal Form

In a grammar in **Chomsky normal form** all rules have the form

$$A \rightarrow BC \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow a$$

Note that a grammar in Chomsky normal form contains

- no λ -production rules,
- no unit production rules.

Theorem

For every context-free language L there is a grammar G in **Chomsky normal form** with $L(G) = L \setminus \{\lambda\}$.

Chomsky Normal Form

Construction

Let G be a context-free grammar without λ - and unit-productions and $L(G) = L \setminus \{\lambda\}$.

- Introduce variables C_a and rules $C_a \rightarrow a$ for every $a \in T$.
- Replace every rule $A \rightarrow x_1 \cdots x_n$ ($x_i \in V \cup T$) with $n \geq 2$ by

$$A \rightarrow \sigma(x_1) \cdots \sigma(x_n) \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in V \\ C_x, & \text{if } x \in T \end{cases}$$

- Replace every $A \rightarrow B_1 \cdots B_n$ with $n \geq 3$ by

$$A \rightarrow B_1 \cdots B_{n-2} C \qquad C \rightarrow B_{n-1} B_n$$

where C is a fresh variable.

Repeat the last step until all rules are in Chomsky normal form.

Exercise

Transform the following context-free grammar

$$S \rightarrow aSbX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow Xa \mid aba$$

into Chomsky normal form.

The λ -rules and unit-rules have already been removed.

We continue the transformation:

$$S \rightarrow aSbX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow Xa \mid aba$$

$$C_a \rightarrow a$$

$$C_b \rightarrow b$$

Exercise

Transform the following context-free grammar

$$S \rightarrow aSbX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow Xa \mid aba$$

into Chomsky normal form.

The λ -rules and unit-rules have already been removed.

We continue the transformation:

$$S \rightarrow C_aSC_bX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow Xa \mid aba$$

$$C_a \rightarrow a$$

$$C_b \rightarrow b$$

Exercise

Transform the following context-free grammar

$$S \rightarrow aSbX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow Xa \mid aba$$

into Chomsky normal form.

The λ -rules and unit-rules have already been removed.

We continue the transformation:

$$S \rightarrow C_aSC_bX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow XC_a \mid aba$$

$$C_a \rightarrow a$$

$$C_b \rightarrow b$$

Exercise

Transform the following context-free grammar

$$S \rightarrow aSbX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow Xa \mid aba$$

into Chomsky normal form.

The λ -rules and unit-rules have already been removed.

We continue the transformation:

$$S \rightarrow C_aSC_bX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow XC_a \mid C_aC_bC_a$$

$$C_a \rightarrow a$$

$$C_b \rightarrow b$$

Exercise

Transform the following context-free grammar

$$S \rightarrow aSbX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow Xa \mid aba$$

into Chomsky normal form.

The λ -rules and unit-rules have already been removed.

We continue the transformation:

$$S \rightarrow C_a S_2 \mid a \qquad S_2 \rightarrow S S_3 \qquad S_3 \rightarrow C_b X$$

$$X \rightarrow X C_a \mid C_a C_b C_a$$

$$C_a \rightarrow a$$

$$C_b \rightarrow b$$

Exercise

Transform the following context-free grammar

$$S \rightarrow aSbX \mid a$$

$$X \rightarrow Xa \mid aba$$

into Chomsky normal form.

The λ -rules and unit-rules have already been removed.

We continue the transformation:

$$S \rightarrow C_a S_2 \mid a$$

$$S_2 \rightarrow S S_3$$

$$S_3 \rightarrow C_b X$$

$$X \rightarrow X C_a \mid C_a X_2$$

$$X_2 \rightarrow C_b C_a$$

$$C_a \rightarrow a$$

$$C_b \rightarrow b$$