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## Deterministic Finite Automata

## Deterministic Finite Automata (DFAs)

A deterministic finite automaton, short DFA, consists of:

- a finite set $Q$ of states
- a finite input alphabet $\Sigma$
- a transition function $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$
- a starting state $q_{0} \in Q$
- a set $F \subseteq Q$ of final states


## Example DFA

Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ with $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}, \Sigma=\{a, b\}, F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta\left(q_{0}, a\right)=q_{0} & \delta\left(q_{1}, a\right)=q_{1} \\
\delta\left(q_{0}, b\right)=q_{1} & \delta\left(q_{1}, b\right)=q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

## Understanding the transition function $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$

If the automaton in state $q$ reads the symbol $a$, then the resulting state is $\delta(q, a)$.

## DFAs Reading Words

Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ be a DFA.
A configuration of $M$ is a pair $(q, w)$ with $q \in Q$ and $w \in \Sigma^{*}$.
So $(q, w)$ means the automaton is in state $q$ and reads word $w$.
The step relation $\vdash$ of $M$ is defined on configurations by

$$
(q, a w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, w\right) \quad \text { if } \delta(q, a)=q^{\prime}
$$

Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ with $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}, \Sigma=\{a, b\}, F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta\left(q_{0}, a\right)=q_{0} & \delta\left(q_{1}, a\right)=q_{1} \\
\delta\left(q_{0}, b\right)=q_{1} & \delta\left(q_{1}, b\right)=q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Then $\left(q_{0}, a b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, \lambda\right)$.
We define $\vdash^{*}$ as the reflexive transitive closure of $\vdash$.
Continuing the above example, we have $\left(q_{0}, a b b a\right) \vdash^{*}\left(q_{0}, \lambda\right)$.

## Transition Function in Table Notation

## Example DFA

Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ with $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}, \Sigma=\{a, b\}, F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta\left(q_{0}, a\right)=q_{0} & \delta\left(q_{1}, a\right)=q_{1} \\
\delta\left(q_{0}, b\right)=q_{1} & \delta\left(q_{1}, b\right)=q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Hint: transition function $\delta$ can be written in the form of a table:

$$
\begin{array}{l|ll}
\delta & q_{0} & q_{1} \\
\hline a & q_{0} & q_{1} \\
b & q_{1} & q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

## DFAs as Transition Graphs

A DFA can be visualised as a transition graph, consisting of:

- states are the nodes of the graph

■ starting state indicated by an extra incoming arrow

- final states indicated by double circle
- arrows with labels from $\Sigma: q \xrightarrow{a} q^{\prime}$ if $\delta(q, a)=q^{\prime}$

Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ with $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}, \Sigma=\{a, b\}, F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta\left(q_{0}, a\right)=q_{0} & \delta\left(q_{1}, a\right)=q_{1} \\
\delta\left(q_{0}, b\right)=q_{1} & \delta\left(q_{1}, b\right)=q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

is visualised as the transition graph


## Exercise

An arrow with label $a, b$ is shorthand for two arrows: one with label $a$ and one with label $b$.

## What is this DFA?

- states $Q=\left\{z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$
- alphabet $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- transition function $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ :

| $\delta$ | $z_{0}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{1}$ |
| $b$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{0}$ |

- starting state $z_{0}$
$\square$ final states $F=\left\{z_{0}, z_{1}\right\}$


## Paths in DFAs

Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ be a DFA.
For a word $w=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}, n \geq 0$, we write

$$
q_{0} \xrightarrow{w} q_{n}
$$

if there are states $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}$ such that

$$
q_{0} \xrightarrow{a_{1}} q_{1} \quad q_{1} \xrightarrow{a_{2}} q_{2} \quad \ldots \quad q_{n-1} \xrightarrow{a_{n}} q_{n}
$$



Theorem: $\quad q \xrightarrow{w} q^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow(q, w) \vdash^{*}\left(q^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$.

## Regular Languages

A DFA defines (accepts) a language!
The language accepted by DFA $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(M) & =\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid\left(q_{0}, w\right) \vdash^{*}(q, \lambda) \text { with } q \in F\right\} \\
& =\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid q_{0} \xrightarrow{w} q \text { with } q \in F\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



We have

$$
\left(q_{0}, a b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, b b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, b a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, a\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, \lambda\right)
$$

The word $a b b a$ is accepted by $M$, that is, $a b b a \in L(M)$.
A language $L$ is regular if there exists a DFA $M$ with $L(M)=L$.

## Exercise (1)

Let $M$ be the following DFA:


Describe the language accepted by $M$.

## Answer:

$L(M)$ consists of all words over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$ that contain an even number of $b$ 's.

## Exercise (2)

Show that the following language is regular:
$\{\lambda\}$
Construct a deterministic finite automaton for the language.


## Exercise (3)

Show that the following language is regular:

$$
\left\{a^{n} b \mid n \geq 0\right\}
$$

Construct a deterministic finite automaton for the language.


## Exercise (4)

Show that the following language is regular:

$$
\left\{a^{2 n+1} \mid n \geq 0\right\} \cup\left\{b^{2 n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}
$$

Construct a deterministic finite automaton for the language.


## DFAs are Deterministic

Recall that $\delta$ is a function from $Q \times \Sigma$ to $Q$.
DFAs are deterministic:
For every state $q \in Q$ and every symbol $a \in \Sigma$, the state $q$ has precisely one outgoing arrow with label $a$.

Hence, for every input word, there is precisely one path from the starting state through the transition graph.

The following picture shows the path for aaba:


## Exercise (5)

Construct deterministic finite automata for the languages:

$$
\left\{w \in\{a, b\}^{*} \mid w \text { contains the subword } b a b\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{w \in\{a, b\}^{*} \mid w \text { does not contain the subword } b a b\right\}
$$



## Regular Languages: Complement

## Theorem

If $L$ is a regular language, then $\bar{L}$ is also regular.

## Proof.

Let $L$ be regular.
Then there exists a DFA $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ with $L(M)=L$.
Then $N=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, Q \backslash F\right)$ is a DFA with $L(N)=\bar{L}$.
Here it is important that for every input word $w$ :

- There is precisely one path starting at $q_{0}$ labelled with $w$.
- There is precisely one state $q$ with $q_{0} \xrightarrow{w} q$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w \in L \Longleftrightarrow w \in L(M) \Longleftrightarrow q \in F \\
& w \in \bar{L} \Longleftrightarrow w \in \overline{L(M)} \Longleftrightarrow q \in(Q \backslash F) \Longleftrightarrow w \in L(N)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Regular Languages: Union

## Theorem

If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are regular, then $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ is regular.

## Construction (Product)

There exists a DFAs

$$
M_{1}=\left(Q_{1}, \Sigma, \delta_{1}, q_{0,1}, F_{1}\right) \quad M_{2}=\left(Q_{2}, \Sigma, \delta_{2}, q_{0,2}, F_{2}\right)
$$

such that $L\left(M_{1}\right)=L_{1}$ and $L\left(M_{2}\right)=L_{2}$.
Idea: We run $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ in parallel.
We define a DFA $N=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ where

- $Q=Q_{1} \times Q_{2}=\left\{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \mid q_{1} \in Q_{1}, q_{2} \in Q_{2}\right\}$
- $\delta\left(\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right), a\right)=\left(\delta_{1}\left(q_{1}, a\right), \delta_{2}\left(q_{2}, a\right)\right)$
- $q_{0}=\left(q_{0,1}, q_{0,2}\right)$
- $F=\left\{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in Q \mid q_{1} \in F_{1}\right.$ or $\left.q_{2} \in F_{2}\right\}$

Then it follows that $L(N)=L\left(M_{1}\right) \cup L\left(M_{2}\right)=L_{1} \cup L_{2}$.

## Regular Languages: Intersection, Difference

## Question

Change the product construction to show that

- $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is regular, and
- $L_{1} \backslash L_{2}$ is regular ?

Answer: it suffices to change the definition of the final states

- for $L_{1} \cup L_{2}: F=\left\{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in Q \mid q_{1} \in F_{1}\right.$ or $\left.q_{2} \in F_{2}\right\}$
$\square$ for $L_{1} \cap L_{2}: F=\left\{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in Q \mid q_{1} \in F_{1}\right.$ and $\left.q_{2} \in F_{2}\right\}$
■ for $L_{1} \backslash L_{2}: F=\left\{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in Q \mid q_{1} \in F_{1}\right.$ and $\left.q_{2} \notin F_{2}\right\}$


## Theorem

If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are regular, then $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is regular.

## Theorem

If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are regular, then $L_{1} \backslash L_{2}$ is regular.

## Exercise

## Question

Is the following language regular?

$$
\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}
$$

This language is not regular!
Intuition: a DFA has only a finite memory (the states).
We will later prove this using the pumping lemma.

## Finite Languages are Regular

## Theorem

Every finite language $L$ regular.

## Construction

Let $N$ be the length of the longest word in $L$.
Define the DFA $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{\lambda}, F\right)$ by

- $Q=\left\{q_{w}\left|w \in \Sigma^{*},|w| \leq N\right\} \cup\left\{q_{\perp}\right\}\right.$
- $F=\left\{q_{w} \mid w \in L\right\}$
- the transition function $\delta$ is defined by

$$
\delta\left(q_{w}, a\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
q_{w a} & \text { if }|w a| \leq N, \\
q_{\perp} & \text { if }|w a|>N
\end{array} \quad \delta\left(q_{\perp}, a\right)=q_{\perp}\right.
$$

for every $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ with $|w| \leq N$ and $a \in \Sigma$

Nondeterministic Finite Automata

## Nondeterministic Finite Automata

NFAs are defined like DFAs, except that NFAs allow for:
■ Multiple starting states.

- Any number of outgoing arrows with the same label.
- Empty steps: arrows labelled $\lambda$ (do not consume input).


Note that:

- both $q_{0}$ and $q_{2}$ are starting states
- the state $q_{1}$ has two outgoing arrows with label $b$
- there is an empty step from $q_{0}$ to $q_{1}$


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata

A nondeterministic finite automaton, short NFA, consists of:

- a finite set $Q$ of states
- a finite input alphabet $\Sigma$
- a transition function $\delta: Q \times(\Sigma \cup\{\lambda\}) \rightarrow 2^{Q}$
- a set $S \subseteq Q$ of starting states
- a set $F \subseteq Q$ of final states

Here $2^{Q}$ is the set of all subsets of $Q: 2^{Q}=\{X \mid X \subseteq Q\}$.
The NFA on the preceding slide is $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\} \\
& \Sigma=\{a, b\} \\
& S=\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}\right\} \\
& F=\left\{q_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\delta$ | $q_{0}$ | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $\left\{q_{1}\right\}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\left\{q_{0}\right\}$ |
| $b$ | $\varnothing$ | $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $\lambda$ | $\left\{q_{1}\right\}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |

## NFAs Reading Words

Let $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ be a NFA.
The step relation $\vdash$ of $M$ is defined on configurations by

$$
(q, \alpha w) \vdash\left(q^{\prime}, w\right) \quad \text { if } q^{\prime} \in \delta(q, \alpha) \text { with } \alpha \in \Sigma \cup\{\lambda\}
$$

Note that if $\alpha=\lambda$, then

- the state changes ( $q$ to $q^{\prime}$ ), but
- the input word stays the same $(\lambda w=w)$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q_{0}, a b b a b\right) & \vdash\left(q_{1}, b b a b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, b a b\right) \vdash\left(q_{2}, a b\right) \\
& \vdash\left(q_{0}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Paths in NFAs

Let $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ be a NFA.
For a word $w$, we write

$$
q \xrightarrow{w} q^{\prime}
$$

if $w=\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}$ for some $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in(\Sigma \cup\{\lambda\})$ and there are states $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}$ such that

$$
q^{\alpha_{1}} q_{1} \quad q_{1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} q_{2} \quad q_{2} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{3}} q_{3} \quad \ldots \quad q_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n}} q^{\prime}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{0} \xrightarrow{\lambda} q_{0} & q_{1} \xrightarrow{\text { ba }} q_{0} \\
q_{0} \xrightarrow{\lambda} q_{1} & q_{1} \frac{b a}{\longrightarrow} q_{1} \\
q_{1} \xrightarrow{b} q_{1} & q_{\xrightarrow{\text { abbab }} q_{1}} \begin{array}{c} 
\\
q_{1} \xrightarrow{b} q_{2}
\end{array} \\
q_{0} \xrightarrow{\text { abbab }} q_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Theorem: $\quad q \xrightarrow{w} q^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow(q, w) \vdash^{*}\left(q^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$.

## NFAs Accepting Languages

The language accepted by NFA $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(M) & =\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid\left(q_{0}, w\right) \vdash^{*}(q, \lambda) \text { with } q_{0} \in S, q \in F\right\} \\
& =\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid q_{0} \xrightarrow{w} q \text { with } q_{0} \in S, q \in F\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Paths are not unique! Paths for input word $a b$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(q_{0}, a b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, \lambda\right) & \text { (ends in accepting state) } \\
\left(q_{0}, a b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{2}, \lambda\right) & \\
\left(q_{2}, a b\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, \lambda\right) & \text { (ends in accepting state) } \\
\left(q_{2}, a b\right) \vdash\left(q_{0}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{1}, b\right) \vdash\left(q_{2}, \lambda\right) &
\end{array}
$$

One accepting path suffices! So $a b$ is accepted.

## NFAs with a Single Starting State

For every NFA $M$ there is an NFA $N$ such that $L(M)=L(N)$ and $N$ has a single starting state.

## Construction

Let $N=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ be an NFA.
Define $M$ the be obtained from $N$ as follows

- add a fresh state $q_{0}$,
- add transitions $q_{0} \xrightarrow{\lambda} q$ for every $q \in S$, and
- make $q_{0}$ the only starting state of $M$.

Then $M$ has a single starting state and $L(N)=L(M)$.

## Convention

We denote NFAs $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ with a single starting state $S=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$ by $\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$.

## DFAs and NFAs are Equally Expressive

## Theorem

A language $L$ is accepted by a NFA $\Longleftrightarrow L$ is regular.
Construction (Powerset)
Let $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ be a NFA.
Idea: state of DFA $=$ set of all states the NFA can be in
We construct a DFA $N=\left(Q^{\prime}, \Sigma, \delta^{\prime}, q_{0}^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{\prime} & =2^{Q}=\{X \mid X \subseteq Q\} \\
\delta^{\prime}(X, a) & =\left\{q^{\prime} \in Q \mid q \xrightarrow{a} q^{\prime} \text { for some } q \in X\right\} \\
q_{0}^{\prime} & =\left\{q^{\prime} \in Q \mid q \xrightarrow{\lambda} q^{\prime} \text { for some } q \in S\right\} \\
F^{\prime} & =\{X \subseteq Q \mid X \cap F \neq \varnothing\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For every $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $X \subseteq Q$ it holds that

$$
X \xrightarrow{w} X^{\prime} \text { in } N \quad \Longleftrightarrow X^{\prime}=\left\{q^{\prime} \mid q \in X, q \xrightarrow{w} q^{\prime} \text { in } M\right\}
$$

From this property it follows that $L(N)=L(M)$.

## Exercise

Given is the following NFA:


Construct a DFA that accepts the same language.

## Regular Languages: Reversal

## Theorem

If $L$ is regular, then its reverse $L^{R}$ is regular.

## Construction

Let $L$ be a regular language.
Then there is an NFA $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ with $L(M)=L$.
Let $N$ be the NFA obtained from $M$ by

- reversing all arrows (transitions),
- exchanging starting states $S$ and final states $F$.

Then we have

$$
q \xrightarrow{w} q^{\prime} \text { in } M \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad q^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w^{R}} q \text { in } N
$$

Since starting and final states are swapped, it follows that

$$
w \in L(M) \Longleftrightarrow w^{R} \in L(N)
$$

## Exercise

Given is the following NFA:


Construct an NFA that accepts the reverse language:


